



The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation

PILLAR 3 DISCLOSURE

June 30, 2026



THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON CORPORATION

Pillar 3 Disclosure

June 30, 2026

Table of Contents

	Page
Disclosure Road Map	2
Introduction	3
Scope of Application	4
Capital Structure	6
Capital Adequacy	7
Capital Conservation and Countercyclical Capital Buffers	8
Credit Risk: General Disclosures	9
Credit Risk: Disclosures for Portfolios Subject to IRB Risk-based Capital Formulas	12
Counterparty Credit Risk for Derivative Contracts, Repo-style Transactions and Eligible Margin Loans	16
Credit Risk Mitigation	21
Securitization Exposures	24
Operational Risk	26
Equities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule	27
Market Risk	29
Interest Rate Risk for Non-trading Activities	32
Supplementary Leverage Ratio	32
Forward-looking Statements	34
Acronyms	37
Glossary	38

Disclosure Road Map

The table below shows where disclosures relating to topics addressed in this Pillar 3 Disclosure can be found in The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the year ended June 30, 2026 (the "Form 10-Q") and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2025 (the "2025 Annual Report").

Pillar 3 Section	Disclosure Topic	2nd Quarter 2026 Form 10-Q	2025 Annual Report
		Page Reference(s)	
Introduction	Capital Requirements - Existing U.S. Requirements	35-37	59-62
	Advanced Approaches Risk-Based Capital Rules	Annual Only	60
Scope of Application	Consolidation and Variable Interest Entities	67-68	127-128, 159-160
	Restrictions on Transfer of Capital:		
	Payment of Dividends	32	36-37, 57-58, 172
	Capital Planning	Annual Only	47, 57-59
Capital Structure	Capital Components	36	41, 163
	Preferred Stock	68-69	161-162
Capital Adequacy	Capital Planning and Stress Testing	Annual Only	57-59
	Basel III Ratios	3, 5, 35	40-42, 59-62
	Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity	37	42, 61-62
Capital Conservation and Countercyclical Capital Buffers	Buffers Overview	Annual Only	42, 58-62
	Capital Ratio Minimums and Buffers	35	40, 42, 58-62
Credit Risk: General Disclosures	Governance	Annual Only	48-49
	Primary Risk Types	Annual Only	49
	Credit Risk Management	Annual Only	51-52
	Past Due Loans and Nonperforming Assets Policies	Annual Only	130
	Allowance for Credit Losses Policies	Annual Only	22-23, 130-134
	Past Due Loans and Nonperforming Assets	28, 57	147
	Allowance for Credit Losses Activity	27, 56-57	32-33, 145-146
Credit Risk: Disclosures for Portfolios Subject to IRB Risk-based Capital Formulas	Net Recoveries (Charge-offs)	27, 56-57	32, 146
Counterparty Credit Risk for Derivative Contracts, Repo-style Transactions and Eligible Margin Loans	Credit Limits	Annual Only	52, 64
	Credit Valuation Adjustment	39	44
	Disclosure of contingent features in OTC derivative instruments	80	189
	Securities Lending Transactions	82-83	179-180, 191-192
Operational Risk	Risk Factors: Operational Risk	Annual Only	77-89
	Overview	Annual Only	49
	Operational Risk Management	Annual Only	50-51
Market Risk	Trading Activities and Risk Management	37-39	43-45
	Market Risk Management	Annual Only	51
Interest Rate Risk for Non-trading Activities	Asset/Liability Management	39-40	45-46
	Estimated Changes in Net Interest Revenue	40	45
	Estimated Changes in EVE	Annual Only	46
Supplementary Leverage Ratio	Supplementary Leverage Ratio	3, 35-36	40-41, 61, 163-164

Introduction

In this Pillar 3 Disclosure (this “Disclosure”), references to “our,” “we,” “us,” “BNY,” the “Company” and similar terms refer to The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. References in this Disclosure to “Parent” or the “Holding Company” refer to The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation on a standalone basis.

Certain business terms and commonly used acronyms used in this Disclosure are defined in the Glossary and Acronyms sections of this Disclosure.

BNY is a global financial services platforms company at the heart of the world’s capital markets. For more than 240 years BNY has partnered alongside clients, using its expertise and platforms to help them operate more efficiently and accelerate growth. Today BNY serves over 90% of Fortune 100 companies and nearly all the top 100 banks globally. BNY supports governments in funding local projects and works with over 90% of the top 100 pension plans to safeguard investments for millions of individuals. BNY is the corporate brand of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation (NYSE: BNY). Additional information is available on www.bny.com.

Basis of Presentation

The accounting and financial reporting policies of BNY, a global financial services company, conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and prevailing industry practices.

There are no differences in the basis of consolidation between BNY’s Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (“SEC Reports”) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) and this Disclosure.

This Disclosure illustrates BNY’s assets both in terms of credit exposure and risk-weighted assets (“RWA”). For the purposes of this Disclosure, credit exposure is defined as the estimate of the amount at risk in the event of a default (before any recoveries). This estimate takes into account certain contractual commitments related to undrawn lines of credit, and is referred to as Exposure at Default (“EAD”). In contrast, the assets on BNY’s balance sheet, as published in our SEC Reports, are reported as the

carrying value only. Therefore, exposure values in this Disclosure can differ from asset values as reported in our SEC Reports.

Capital Requirements

As a bank holding company (“BHC”), we are subject to consolidated regulatory capital rules administered by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve”). Our bank subsidiaries are subject to similar capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve in the case of The Bank of New York Mellon and by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”) in the case of our largest national bank subsidiaries, BNY Mellon, N.A. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, National Association. These requirements (the “U.S. capital rules”) are intended to ensure that banking organizations have adequate capital given the risk levels of their assets and off-balance sheet financial exposures.

For further discussion of the regulatory capital framework and additional regulatory matters relevant to the Company, see “Supervision and Regulation” in our 2025 Annual Report and “Recent Accounting and Regulatory Developments” in our Form 10-Q.

Pillar 3 Disclosure

The U.S. federal banking agencies have included within the U.S. capital rules public disclosure requirements, with an express objective of improving market discipline and encouraging sound risk-management practices.

The U.S. banking agencies require Pillar 3 disclosures at the holding company level for each calendar quarter. Separate and complete Pillar 3 disclosures are not required for consolidated subsidiaries of Advanced Approaches banking organizations, even if those subsidiaries themselves are Advanced Approaches banking organizations. A separate Pillar 3 disclosure therefore has not been prepared for any of our consolidated subsidiaries. Nevertheless, this Disclosure describes risk management policies and procedures, risk weighting methodologies, accounting policies and financial results, among other items, that apply to or encompass our consolidated subsidiaries. In addition, the U.S. banking agencies permit certain Pillar 3 Disclosure requirements to be satisfied by reference to the SEC Reports. Therefore, in certain

cases, BNY makes reference to the SEC Reports in this Disclosure.

This Disclosure is presented in accordance with the U.S. capital rules for Pillar 3 disclosure requirements.

Scope of Application

The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation is the top-tier parent company to all members of its consolidated group and is subject to consolidated supervision by the Federal Reserve. The information in this Disclosure is presented on a consolidated basis that includes BNY and its subsidiaries. A list of our primary subsidiaries can be found in Exhibit 21.1 of our 2025 Form 10-K.

Consolidation and Variable Interest Entities (“VIEs”)

We have variable interests in variable interest entities (“VIEs”), which include investments in retail, institutional and alternative investment funds.

Additionally, we invest in qualified affordable housing and renewable energy projects, which are designed to generate a return primarily through the realization of tax credits. The projects, which are structured as limited partnerships and limited liability companies, are also VIEs, but are not consolidated.

For more information on the consolidation of VIEs see Note 1 - Summary of significant accounting policies and Note 13 - Variable interest entities of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2025 Annual Report and Note 9 - Variable interest entities of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-Q.

The underlying investments in these investment management funds are risk-weighted in accordance with the U.S. capital rules’ requirements.

BNY has noncontrolling equity interests in various venture capital investments, strategic joint ventures and trade or clearing associations which are risk-weighted according to the U.S. capital rules’ requirements. Investments where our percentage of voting stock or equity ownership ranges between 20% to 50% are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. For a listing of our most significant equity method investments see Note 1 - Summary of significant accounting policies of the

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2025 Annual Report. Those equities where our share in the voting stock or equity of the investee is less than 20% are accounted for under the cost method of accounting. See the “Equities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule” section of this Disclosure for a further discussion of these accounting treatments.

BNY does not have any non-consolidated entities that are deducted from regulatory capital. The minimum regulatory capital requirements of our insurance subsidiaries are deducted for regulatory purposes with 50% deducted from each of Other Tier 1 Capital and Tier 2 Capital.

Restrictions on Transfer of Capital

The Parent is a legal entity separate and distinct from its bank subsidiaries and other subsidiaries.

Dividends and interest from its subsidiaries are the Parent’s principal sources of funds to make capital contributions or loans to its subsidiaries, to service its own debt, to honor its guarantees of debt issued by its subsidiaries or of trust preferred securities issued by a trust or to make its own capital distributions. Various federal and state statutes and regulations limit the amount of dividends that may be paid to the Parent by our U.S. bank subsidiaries without regulatory consent. If, in the opinion of the applicable federal regulatory agency, a depository institution under its jurisdiction is engaged in or is about to engage in an unsafe or unsound practice (which, depending on the financial condition of the bank, could include the payment of dividends), the regulator may require, after notice and hearing, that the bank cease and desist from such practice. The OCC, the Federal Reserve and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) have indicated that the payment of dividends would constitute an unsafe and unsound practice if the payment would reduce a depository institution’s capital to an inadequate level. Moreover, under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, an insured depository institution may not pay any dividends if the institution is undercapitalized or if the payment of the dividend would cause the institution to become undercapitalized. Finally, the U.S. federal bank regulatory agencies have issued policy statements which provide that FDIC-insured depository institutions and their holding companies should generally pay dividends only out of their current operating earnings.

As of June 30, 2026, non-bank subsidiaries of the Parent had liquid assets of approximately \$4.4 billion.

The Federal Reserve and the OCC have issued additional guidelines that require BHCs and national banks to continually evaluate the level of cash dividends in relation to their respective operating income, capital needs, asset quality and overall financial condition.

The Federal Reserve Act limits and generally requires collateral for extensions of credit by our insured subsidiary banks to the Parent and certain of its non-bank affiliates. Also, there are restrictions on the amounts of investments by such banks in stock and other securities of the Parent and non-bank affiliates, and restrictions on the acceptance of their securities as collateral for loans by such banks. Extensions of credit by the banks to each of our non-bank affiliates are limited to 10% of such bank's regulatory capital and surplus, and in the aggregate for BNY and all such non-bank affiliates to 20%, and collateral must be between 100% and 130% of the amount of the credit, depending on the type of collateral.

In the event of impairment of the capital stock of one of the Parent's national bank subsidiaries or The Bank of New York Mellon, the Parent, as the banks' stockholder, could be required to pay such deficiency.

Surplus of Insurance Subsidiaries and Subsidiary Regulatory Capital

BNY and each of its subsidiary banks are subject to capital adequacy requirements promulgated by federal regulatory agencies. Certain non-bank subsidiaries of the Company are required to maintain minimum levels of shareholders' equity as specified by various U.S. and foreign regulatory agencies, including the SEC, the Financial Conduct Authority, the Prudential Regulation Authority and other foreign regulators.

BNY also has insurance subsidiaries that are regulated by various national and state regulatory agencies. Most of these insurance subsidiaries are required to meet minimum capital levels. We have insurance subsidiaries that offer life, accident, health and annuity products. Additionally, we have captive insurance subsidiaries that provide property and casualty insurance coverage for the primary benefit of BNY and its subsidiaries. As captive insurance subsidiaries, they primarily insure the risks of those

BNY entities related to it through common ownership. The insured businesses pay premiums to the captive insurance subsidiaries in exchange for insurance. Our two captive insurance subsidiaries are underwriters and currently only underwrite the risks associated with BNY and its subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2026, these insurance subsidiaries had \$0.8 billion of aggregate capital surplus in excess of their statutory minimum requirements which is included in the total capital of BNY.

We have not identified any BNY subsidiaries that failed to meet minimum regulatory capital requirements.

Capital Structure

The following table presents BNY's capital components described in the U.S. capital rules.

Basel III capital components - Advanced Approaches			June 30, 2026
<i>(in millions)</i>			
CET1:			
Common stock (par value)	\$		14
Additional paid-in capital			30,348
Retained earnings			48,907
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax			(3,455)
Less: Treasury stock			(35,904)
Common shareholders' equity			39,910
Goodwill and intangible assets			(17,897)
Net pension fund assets			(388)
Deferred tax assets			(60)
Other (a)			(1)
Total CET1			21,564
Other Tier 1 capital:			
Preferred stock			4,754
Other (b)			(7)
Total Tier 1 capital			26,311
Tier 2 capital:			
Subordinated debt			1,148
Other (c)			(6)
Total Tier 2 capital			1,142
Total capital - Advanced Approaches	\$		27,453

(a) Includes regulatory adjustments for expected credit loss that exceeds eligible credit reserves, accumulated net loss on cash flow hedges, and unrealized net gain related to the debit valuation adjustment ("DVA") at June 30, 2026.

(b) Includes 50% of the deduction for the regulatory capital requirements of insurance underwriting subsidiaries and Volcker capital deduction at June 30, 2026.

(c) Includes 50% of the deduction for the regulatory capital requirements of insurance underwriting subsidiaries at June 30, 2026.

Preferred Stock

The Parent has authorized shares of preferred stock. For details of the Parent's preferred stock issued and outstanding at June 30, 2026, see Note 10 - Preferred stock of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-Q. For additional information on preferred stock, see Note 14 - Shareholders' equity of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2025 Annual Report.

Qualifying Subordinated Debt

All of the Parent's subordinated debt is included as Tier 2 regulatory capital. Regulatory capital treatment requires capital to be discounted on a straight-line basis over the final five years of maturity. For accounting purposes, the capital instruments below are included in long-term debt.

The following table details the primary terms and conditions of the qualifying subordinated debt included in Tier 2 regulatory capital. The balances disclosed are determined in accordance with GAAP balance sheet carrying amounts and the U.S. capital rules.

Terms and conditions of qualifying subordinated debt - June 30, 2026 (dollars in millions)	Tier 2 regulatory capital	Carrying value	Rate	Issue	Maturity	Callable
The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation	\$ 200	\$ 472	3.00 %	SD	Oct-2028	No
The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation	449	706	3.30	SD	Aug-2029	No
The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation	499	488	5.61	SD	Jul-2039	No
Total qualifying subordinated debt	\$ 1,148	\$ 1,666				

SD – Subordinated debt.

Capital Adequacy

Capital Management

The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation is committed to maintaining a well-capitalized position. Corporate Treasury has responsibility for the development of the annual capital plan (the “Capital Plan”) submitted to the Federal Reserve. It is the Company’s policy to maintain a commitment to strong capital levels and establish sufficient capital while considering asset size, quality and duration, off-balance sheet commitments, risk characteristics, growth and economic conditions. Capital management is one of senior management’s most important ongoing responsibilities.

Our Capital Management Policy sets forth our principles with respect to capital planning, capital usage and capital actions based on a continual assessment of risk and business factors. It also sets forth the governance process used to make such decisions and the factors that we consider when developing our Capital Plan and determining when capital actions are appropriate. Any updates to this policy must be approved by both our Asset Liability Committee (“ALCO”) and the Board of Directors or a designated committee of the Board of Directors.

Corporate Treasury coordinates with our Management Reporting and Regulatory Reporting groups to project capital levels and ratios and develop a base Capital Plan for the forthcoming calendar year. The Capital Plan is reviewed and approved by the Stress Testing Oversight Group (“STOG”), ALCO (including our Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) and Chief Risk Officer (“CRO”)), and the Board of Directors. We then submit the plan to the Federal Reserve as part of the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (“CCAR”) process.

When developing its Capital Plan, BNY considers, among other factors, the requirements of the U.S. capital rules, including the minimum capital ratio requirements to which BNY is subject, as well as its

own Capital Management Framework. BNY continually monitors our risk-based capital and leverage positions and ensures that any contemplated capital actions would not limit our ability to meet capital requirements or service our clients. Management monitors progress under the Capital Plan on a monthly basis, and reports progress to the Federal Reserve through regular capital updates as well as applicable regulatory data submissions. Updates to the projections of capital levels and ratios are presented to senior management generally once a month at ALCO meetings.

BNY is subject to the stress capital buffer (“SCB”) framework, which would impose restrictions on capital distributions and discretionary bonus payments if BNY does not maintain capital ratios above the aggregate of its minimum requirements and buffer requirements. For additional information regarding the SCB, see the “Capital Conservation and Countercyclical Capital Buffers” section of this Disclosure.

Capital Planning and Stress Testing

BNY’s capital distributions are subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Reserve.

The CCAR and the Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests (“DFAST”) are a major component of the Federal Reserve’s oversight. BHCs with \$100 billion or more in total consolidated assets, including BNY, are required to submit annual capital plans to the Federal Reserve. See “Supervision and Regulation – Capital Planning and Stress Testing” in our 2025 Annual Report for full details regarding the CCAR and DFAST requirements applicable to us.

Risk-weighted Assets

The following table presents our RWA by exposure type calculated using the U.S. capital rules' risk-weightings.

Basel III RWA – Advanced Approaches	
<i>(in millions)</i>	June 30, 2026
Wholesale exposures	\$ 58,443
Retail exposures	1,032
Securitization exposures	3,044
Cleared transactions	2,343
Equity exposures (a)	9,168
Other assets	15,550
Total credit RWA	89,580
Total credit RWA x 1.06 (b)	94,954
Credit valuation adjustment (“CVA”)	4,263
Market risk:	
Non specific	2,936
Standardized approach for specific risk	1,584
Total market risk	4,520
Operational risk	70,313
Total RWA	\$ 174,050

- (a) All direct equity exposures for BNY are subject to the simple risk weight approach; equity exposures to investment funds are currently weighted using various look-through approaches as appropriate.
- (b) Gross-up of 6% applies to credit RWA under the advanced approaches.

Basel III RWA and risk-based capital ratios - Standardized and Advanced Approaches <i>(dollar amounts in millions)</i>	June 30, 2026							
	RWA		CET1		Tier 1		Total Capital	
	SA	AA	SA	AA	SA	AA	SA	AA
BHC:								
The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation	\$196,108	\$174,050	11.0 %	12.4 %	13.4 %	15.1 %	14.2 %	15.8 %
Depository institution subsidiaries:								
The Bank of New York Mellon	154,537	139,283	14.6	16.2	14.6	16.2	14.8	16.2
BNY Mellon, N.A.	11,782	7,605	16.0	24.7	16.0	24.7	17.9	27.5

Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity

BNY is subject to the Federal Reserve's final rule requiring G-SIBs to maintain minimum levels of external total loss-absorbing capacity (“TLAC”) and eligible external long-term debt (“LTD”). Failure to comply with the TLAC, LTD and related minimum requirements could limit our ability to repurchase shares, pay dividends and make certain discretionary compensation payments. For additional information on TLAC and LTD requirements and BNY's TLAC and LTD ratios, see “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of

As of June 30, 2026, BNY and our U.S. bank subsidiaries were “well capitalized.” The “well capitalized” and other capital categories (where applicable), as established by applicable regulations for BHCs and depository institutions, have been established by those regulations solely for purposes of implementing their respective requirements (for example, eligibility for financial holding company status in the case of BHCs and prompt corrective action measures in the case of depository institutions). A BHC's or depository institution's qualification for a capital category may not constitute an accurate representation of the entity's overall financial condition or prospects.

The following table provides the Standardized Approach (“SA”) and Advanced Approaches (“AA”) RWA and risk-based capital ratios for BNY and for our two largest depository institution subsidiaries. At June 30, 2026, BNY's CET1 ratio calculated under the U.S. capital rules' Standardized Approach was 11.0% and under the Advanced Approaches was 12.4%. Based on the Collins Amendment Floor, the risk-based capital ratios are determined by calculating each capital ratio under the Advanced Approaches and the Standardized Approach and then setting the relevant capital ratio equal to the lower of the two ratios.

Operations - Capital” and “Supervision and Regulation” in our 2025 Annual Report and “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Capital” in our Form 10-Q.

Capital Conservation and Countercyclical Capital Buffers

The capital conservation buffer and countercyclical capital buffer under the U.S. capital rules add to the minimum regulatory capital ratios. The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress and applies to all

banking organizations. During periods of excessive growth, the capital conservation buffer may be expanded through the imposition of a countercyclical capital buffer that may be as high as an additional 2.5%. Since Oct. 1, 2020, BNY has been subject to a SCB requirement that replaces the 2.5% capital conservation buffer for Standardized Approach capital ratios. The SCB is subject to a 2.5% regulatory floor. As of June 30, 2026, BNY's SCB is equal to the regulatory minimum of 2.5%. In August 2025, the Federal Reserve announced that BNY's SCB requirement would remain at 2.5%, equal to the regulatory floor, effective on Oct. 1, 2025, under the current capital plan rule. The capital conservation buffer continues to be applicable to BNY under the Advanced Approaches.

As of June 30, 2026, BNY's Total capital ratio exceeded the minimum buffer requirements by 2.2%. On this basis, BNY does not have any limitations on distributions and discretionary bonus payments resulting from the capital buffer and surcharge framework. As of June 30, 2026, BNY's eligible retained income was \$1.6 billion, which is defined as the greater of (i) its net income for the four preceding quarters, net of any distributions and associated tax effects not already reflected in net income, and (ii) the average of its net income over the four preceding quarters.

The countercyclical capital buffer, when applicable, applies only to Advanced Approaches banking organizations. The countercyclical capital buffer is currently set to zero with respect to U.S. exposures, but it could increase if the banking agencies determine that systemic vulnerabilities are meaningfully above normal.

For additional information on minimum buffer requirements, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Capital" and "Supervision and Regulation" in our 2025 Annual Report.

Credit Risk: General Disclosures

General Risk Management

BNY's management is responsible for execution of the Company's risk appetite and the risk management and compliance framework and the governance structure that supports it, with oversight provided by BNY's board of directors and

two key board committees: the Risk Committee and the Audit Committee. For details on our risk management governance and structure, see "Risk Management" in our 2025 Annual Report.

Primary Risk Types

The understanding, identification and management of risk are essential elements for the successful management of BNY. For details on our primary risk categories, see "Risk Management - Risk types overview" in our 2025 Annual Report.

Credit Risk Management

For information on our credit risk management, see "Risk Management - Risk types overview - Credit risk" in our 2025 Annual Report.

Risk Measurement and Reporting Systems

The Company's risk measurement and reporting systems are designed to ensure that all relevant risks and exposures are comprehensively captured, with all of the attributes necessary to support robust decision making by senior management and risk mitigation within approved risk appetite levels.

Credit Risk Exposure

The following tables set forth total credit exposure before the effect of credit risk mitigation (such as collateral and netting) and break down the exposure by geographic region, counterparty and remaining contractual maturity. In order to remove the effects of credit risk mitigants, exposures were grossed up. The cumulative effect of credit risk mitigation was \$1,422 billion as of June 30, 2026. Credit exposure is presented using EAD for all tables below.

Credit risk exposure before effect of credit risk mitigation – quarter end and average (a)

<i>(in millions)</i>	June 30, 2026	2Q26 Average (b)
Cash and due from, and interest-bearing deposits with, banks	\$ 156,796	\$ 172,377
Investment securities - wholesale	144,996	145,094
Loans	52,256	53,741
Unused commitments (c)	33,391	33,122
Derivatives (d)	45,260	44,141
Securities lending - agency & CIBC agency indemnified	749,246	741,021
Repo-style transactions and margin lending (e)	713,754	691,169
Total credit risk exposure (f)	\$ 1,895,699	\$ 1,880,665

(a) Credit risk exposure represents exposure before the effect of credit risk mitigation such as collateral, guarantees and netting.

(b) Calculated using a simple average based on the current and prior quarter balances.

(c) Includes unused commitments, commercial L/Cs and standby L/Cs.

(d) Includes transactions cleared through qualifying central counterparties and collateral posted.

(e) Includes transactions cleared through qualifying central counterparties.

(f) Excludes equities, securitizations, and other assets.

The following table provides a breakdown of credit exposure by geographic region based on the counterparty's country of risk.

**Credit risk exposure before effect of credit risk mitigation by geographic region (a)
at June 30, 2026**

<i>(in millions)</i>	Americas		EMEA		APAC		Total
Cash and due from, and interest-bearing deposits with, banks	\$	104,851	\$	39,423	\$	12,522	\$ 156,796
Investment securities - wholesale		117,867		24,641		2,488	144,996
Loans		42,132		5,792		4,332	52,256
Unused commitments (c)		30,075		3,190		126	33,391
Derivatives (d)		30,179		12,010		3,071	45,260
Securities lending - agency and CIBC agency indemnified		391,202		339,330		18,714	749,246
Repo-style transactions and margin lending (e)		698,754		12,738		2,262	713,754
Total credit risk exposure (f)	\$	1,415,060	\$	437,124	\$	43,515	\$ 1,895,699

Note: See the table titled "Credit risk exposure before effect of credit risk mitigation - quarter end and average" above for footnotes.

The following table provides a breakdown of credit exposure by counterparty type.

**Credit risk exposure before effect of credit risk mitigation by counterparty type (a)
at June 30, 2026**

<i>(in millions)</i>	Corporate		Sovereign		Bank		Real Estate (g)	Retail	Total
Cash and due from, and interest-bearing deposits with, banks	\$	540	\$	139,565	\$	16,691	\$	—	\$ 156,796
Investment securities - wholesale		60,948		75,123		8,925		—	144,996
Loans		27,579		511		9,067		10,362	52,256
Unused commitments (c)		31,558		435		1,398		—	33,391
Derivatives (d)		32,688		497		12,075		—	45,260
Securities lending - agency & CIBC agency indemnified		473,362		1		275,883		—	749,246
Repo-style transactions and margin lending (e)		707,157		1,551		5,046		—	713,754
Total credit risk exposure (f)	\$	1,333,832	\$	217,683	\$	329,085	\$	4,737	\$ 1,895,699

Note: See the table titled "Credit risk exposure before effect of credit risk mitigation - quarter end and average" above for footnotes.

(g) Real estate includes high-volatility commercial real estate ("HVCRE") and income producing real estate ("IPRE").

The following table provides a breakdown of credit exposure by remaining contractual maturity.

Credit risk exposure before effect of risk mitigation by remaining contractual maturity (a)				
at June 30, 2026				
<i>(in millions)</i>	Within 1 year	Between 1-5 years	After 5 years	Total
Cash and due from, and interest-bearing deposits with, banks	\$ 156,796	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 156,796
Investment securities - wholesale	14,750	67,527	62,719	144,996
Loans	29,831	7,572	14,853	52,256
Unused commitments (c)	16,952	15,543	896	33,391
Derivatives (d)	21,456	2,345	21,459	45,260
Securities lending - agency & CIBC agency indemnified	749,246	—	—	749,246
Repo-style transactions and margin lending (e)	713,312	250	192	713,754
Total credit risk exposure (f)	\$ 1,702,343	\$ 93,237	\$ 100,119	\$ 1,895,699

Note: See the table titled "Credit risk exposure before effect of credit risk mitigation - quarter end and average" above for footnotes.

Past Due Loans and Nonperforming Assets

Commercial loans are placed on nonaccrual status when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more, or when there is reasonable doubt that interest or principal will be collected. Exceptions require approval of the Company's Chief Credit Officer ("CCO").

When a first lien residential mortgage loan reaches 90 days delinquent, it is subject to an individual evaluation of credit loss and placed on nonaccrual status unless there is significant evidence to indicate the borrower will make payment in the next 60-90 days and the loan's collateral value exceeds the unpaid principal balance plus accrued interest. Second lien mortgages are automatically placed on nonaccrual status when they reach 90 days delinquent.

When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, previously accrued and uncollected interest is reversed against current period interest revenue. When doubt exists as to the collectability of the remaining investment in a nonperforming asset, any interest payments received must be applied to reduce the recorded investment in the asset to the extent necessary to eliminate such doubt. However, as long as the remaining recorded investment in the asset is deemed fully collectable, some or all of the cash interest received may be treated as interest income.

As a general rule, a nonperforming asset may be restored to accrual status when interest and principal are brought fully current and maintained current for six consecutive scheduled monthly payments, and the Company expects repayment of the remaining contractual principal and interest. However, such

assets should continue to be reported as past due until they are brought completely current.

Residential mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment no later than 180 days delinquent and annually thereafter if the loan remains delinquent. At the time of each impairment test, residential loans are charged off to the extent unpaid principal balance plus superior liens and bank advances (on items such as taxes, insurance and co-op fees) exceed the appraised value less an estimate of direct selling costs. The principal of commercial loans is charged off, either in whole or in part, when based on facts and circumstances a serious doubt arises as to the collectability of all or a portion of the principal. Consumer loans that are not secured by residential real estate are charged off when they become 120 days past due. In addition, charge-offs may be taken at the discretion of management which in some cases may represent the full balance of the loan. Fraudulent loans should be classified as loss and charged off no later than 90 days of discovery or within the time frames specified within this classification policy, whichever is sooner.

For additional information, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical accounting estimates," Note 1 - Summary of significant accounting policies, and Note 4 - Loans and asset quality of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2025 Annual Report and Note 3 - Loans and asset quality of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-Q.

Allowance for Credit Losses

The allowance for credit losses covers financial assets subject to credit losses and measured at amortized

cost, including loans and lending-related commitments, held-to-maturity securities, certain securities financing transactions and deposits with banks. The allowance for credit losses represents management's estimate of expected credit losses over the expected contractual life of the financial instruments as of the balance sheet date. The allowance methodology is designed to provide procedural discipline in assessing the appropriateness of the allowance. A quantitative methodology and qualitative framework is used to estimate the allowance for credit losses.

The accounting policy for the determination of the allowance for credit losses has been identified as a "critical accounting estimate" as it requires us to make numerous complex and subjective estimates and assumptions relating to amounts which are judgmental and inherently uncertain.

The following table sets forth information on our allowance for credit losses activity for loans and lending-related commitments. At June 30, 2026, BNY's allowance for loan losses and allowance for lending-related commitments were \$222 million and \$90 million, respectively. In addition, BNY's allowance for credit losses related to other financial instruments, which includes federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements,

available-for-sale securities, held-to-maturity securities, accounts receivable, cash and due from banks and interest-bearing deposits with banks, was \$27 million at June 30, 2026. This amount comprised of \$21 million of allowance for credit losses related to cash and due from banks, \$3 million of allowance for credit losses related to interest-bearing deposits with banks, and \$3 million of allowance for credit losses on accounts receivable. This amount also included less than \$1 million of allowance for credit losses on securities at June 30, 2026, which related to other debt securities. There were a recovery of \$1 million related to other residential mortgages and a charge-off of \$1 million related to other financial instruments during the second quarter of 2026.

For additional information, see the "Allowance for credit losses" section in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical accounting estimates" as well as Note 1 - Summary of significant accounting policies, Note 3 - Securities, and Note 4 - Loans and asset quality of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2025 Annual Report and the Consolidated Balance Sheet, Note 2 - Investment Securities and Note 3 - Loans and asset quality of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-Q.

Allowance for credit losses activity for loans and lending-related commitments for the quarter ended June 30, 2026

(in millions)	Commercial	Commercial real estate	Financial institutions	Wealth management loans	Wealth management mortgages	Other residential mortgages	Capital call financing	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 12	\$ 248	\$ 32	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 4	\$ 10	\$ 322
Charge-offs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Recoveries	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	1
Net (charge-offs) recoveries	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	1
Provision (a)	3	(26)	10	—	—	—	2	(11)
Ending balance	\$ 15	\$ 222	\$ 42	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 5	\$ 12	\$ 312
Allowance for:								
Loan losses	\$ 3	\$ 170	\$ 22	\$ 6	\$ 7	\$ 5	\$ 9	222
Lending-related commitments	12	52	20	2	1	—	3	90
Individually evaluated for impairment:								
Loan balance (b)	\$ —	\$ 50	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 7	\$ 2	\$ —	\$ 59
Allowance for loan losses	—	6	—	—	—	—	—	6

(a) Does not include the provision for credit losses related to other financial instruments of \$3 million for the second quarter of 2026.

(b) Includes collateral dependent loans of \$59 million with \$75 million of collateral value.

Credit Risk: Disclosures for Portfolios Subject to IRB Risk-based Capital Formulas

Under the Advanced Approaches risk-based capital rules, BNY uses the IRB advanced approach for quantifying risk in its credit portfolios. The IRB

advanced approach is a method of calculating credit risk capital requirements using internal probability of default ("PD"), loss given default ("LGD"), and EAD models.

Overview of Wholesale Internal Rating System

Our internal rating system includes two types of ratings – a borrower rating and a facility rating. A borrower rating is assigned to each customer; a facility rating is assigned to each exposure. A borrower rating is intended to reflect the statistical probability that a customer will default on its debt obligations during the next year. The PD associated with each borrower is calculated annually by the Risk Modeling and Analytics Group. The PDs represent long-run default rates from both internal and external empirical data. The annually updated PD and LGD parameters are used in RWA and capital calculations after receiving approval from the Federal Reserve.

The facility rating reflects the percentage loss we would incur for that facility if the customer were to default on payment of a particular facility. A facility rating is determined by assessing the type of credit exposure, the borrower's capital structure, the value and quality of pledged collaterals, and other aspects of the facility to arrive at an estimate of loss in the event of default. The LGD associated with each facility rating is calculated annually by the Risk Modeling and Analytics Group. The LGDs are informed by historic loss rates from both internal and external empirical data.

Ratings Assignment Process

BNY employs an internal system to facilitate the assignment of ratings, document the factors considered in the rating process and archive this data for historic analysis. A borrower rating is assigned when any new credit relationship is established; a facility rating is assigned when a new facility is approved.

Credit underwriters propose ratings on each deal, after which credit risk management reviews and approves the final ratings. Ratings are continually monitored for accuracy in the ordinary course of business as prescribed in the Company's Risk Policy. All borrowers with credit exposure must be re-rated annually.

Borrower Rating Process

We rely on a variety of inputs to assign wholesale borrower ratings. External ratings, internally-developed scorecards and expert judgment are all employed to assign appropriate ratings to customers.

Facility Rating Process

Facility ratings derive from an internal model which considers facility type, structure, and collateral as the principal drivers of recovery, with expert judgment also allowed where these factors do not address all the potential facility risk.

Ratings Migration

Our rating scales incorporate longer-term fundamentals into the rating determination, while proactively downgrading during deteriorating conditions. Downgrades occur on a proactive basis, especially during credit downturns, while upgrades tend to lag credit conditions due to conservatism. Migration of ratings within the credit portfolio is tracked regularly and subject to annual auditing.

Credit Risk Governance

The Company manages its wholesale credit risk at both the individual exposure level and at the portfolio level. Credit risk at the individual exposure level is managed via the credit approval process, with risk management executives responsible for approving the size, terms, and maturity of all credit exposures, as well as assigning and maintaining borrower and facility ratings.

Credit risk at the portfolio level is managed by a centralized group, which calculates our economic capital for credit risk and loan loss reserves. Committees meet within risk management to review risk policies, modeling and quantitative approaches. They also meet to discuss each sub-portfolio to set exposure limits, review concentrations, set portfolio strategy, and discuss improvements to the credit risk management framework.

Quantification of Wholesale Risk Parameters, Summary of Advanced Approaches Requirements and Company Implementation

Quantification is the process of translating risk characteristics into meaningful measurements based on observed data. Simply put, it is the process to derive the numerical components of the credit risk rating system for use in risk management. The quantification process is subject to the governance processes described above. The results of the quantification process are essential to the risk management function at the Company and are applied

in risk quantification under regulatory capital standards. As these risk parameters are used to evaluate individual credits and the overall portfolio and will ultimately determine regulatory capital, they must be determined with a high degree of accuracy. BNY has historically had a low default portfolio for wholesale exposures and consequently, has been forced to rely upon supplemental external data in order to calibrate the quantification system. BNY obtains the data from numerous external sources to augment its internal historic data. Internal systems have been improved to track all data relevant for quantification, but external data will likely continue to be necessary indefinitely, to address the size and low default profile of the portfolio.

Quantification of PD

Our PD quantification model uses information of credit ratings and observed default rates in Standard and Poor's ("S&P") measure of rating bands in order to estimate PD of given BNY internal borrower ratings. The PD estimates are long-run averages of default rates for S&P rating categories and then converted to the Company's internal rating scale. The Company relies upon external data from S&P in the absence of sufficient internal default data by rating.

Every borrower is assigned a borrower rating that maps to one-year PDs for use in credit risk management. The PD estimates are long-run averages of observed default rates based on obligors within a one-year window. The following paragraphs detail the process by which borrower ratings and PDs are generated.

Borrower Ratings Basis Groups

BNY has created rating groups in which borrowers with similar risk characteristics (and therefore similar default characteristics) are grouped together. Within each rating basis group, particular benchmarks are available as starting points for the internal rating assignment workflow. Available benchmarks include a range of publicly-available ratings, as well as the output of internal scorecard models.

Once a borrower's benchmark is chosen, the rater may adjust the rating up or down based on certain factors. Adjustments address factors not appropriately considered by the chosen benchmark,

such as credit enhancements (e.g. guarantees). Ratings are monitored and reviewed for continued accuracy in the ordinary course of business. All borrowers with credit exposure must be re-rated annually with few exceptions.

PD Assignment

Once a borrower has a final rating, PD rates are applied based on an annual quantification analysis. This analysis incorporates internal and external data to determine the most appropriate PD for each rating.

Quantification of LGD

The Company assigns a rating representing the predicted loss severity to each credit facility. The model incorporates the factors named above, and allows expert adjustment by the user, as in the case of borrower ratings, which addresses factors not appropriately considered by the model.

Once final facility ratings are assigned, each rating receives an LGD percentage for use in quantitative analysis. Internal and external data as well as industry studies all contribute to the annual quantification process that sets the LGD percent for each facility rating.

Quantification of EAD

EAD reflects the entire portion of drawn amounts plus a percentage of undrawn availability. The rate of undrawn availability included in the final EAD is the usage given default ("UGD").

UGD is defined as the expected percent of the commitment that will be used in the event of default. The drawn portions of every facility carry an implicit UGD of 100%. Undrawn portions of facilities carry a UGD between 0% and 100% depending on the prospect for additional draws prior to default. The Company performs an annual quantification to determine the factors that affect facility usage leading up to default and to set UGD percentages based on those factors.

Uses of Parameter Quantification

We use the results of the quantification process for regulatory capital, economic capital and expected loss ("EL") analyses.

The following tables provide details of BNY's IRB advanced approach risk parameters used to calculate RWA and capital under the Advanced Approaches risk-based capital rules and set out the distribution of exposures by PD bands.

Wholesale exposures at June 30, 2026		Weighted Average PD (a)	Weighted Average LGD (a)	Weighted Average RW (a)	Undrawn Amount (b)	Weighted Average CCF (c)
(dollar amounts in millions)		EAD				
General Wholesale						
0.00 to < 0.15%	\$ 354,856	0.03 %	25.83 %	7.99 %	\$ 38,708	72.02 %
0.15 to < 0.25%	9,117	0.22	41.42	46.11	6,521	71.61
0.35 to < 0.50%	8,028	0.39	37.84	48.94	3,140	74.14
0.50 to < 0.75%	4,102	0.71	42.73	66.23	1,065	80.59
1.35 to < 2.50%	2,870	1.39	45.32	89.85	350	66.28
2.50 to < 5.50%	917	2.84	49.46	129.27	110	62.88
5.50 to < 10.00%	286	6.00	51.88	166.46	29	34.38
10.00 to < 20.00%	146	12.83	42.79	205.35	6	20.99
20.00 to < 100.00%	1,373	26.35	49.17	272.97	24	71.06
100.00% (default)	5	100.00	24.39	100.00	—	—
General Wholesale Subtotal	381,700	0.17	26.95	12.45	49,953	72.19
OTC Derivatives, Repo-style Transactions and Margin Loans						
0.00 to < 0.03%	\$ 815	0.02 %	57.90 %	2.49 %	\$ —	— %
0.03 to < 0.10%	42,232	0.04	56.69	9.57	—	—
0.10 to < 0.15%	2,804	0.13	57.00	24.74	—	—
0.15 to < 0.25%	3,961	0.22	57.71	33.16	—	—
0.25 to < 0.50%	5,097	0.39	54.38	44.12	—	—
0.50 to < 0.75%	1,804	0.71	57.13	68.76	—	—
1.35 to < 2.50%	718	1.39	57.85	99.29	—	—
2.50 to < 5.50%	138	2.84	57.90	133.31	—	—
5.50 to < 10.00%	92	6.00	57.90	172.87	—	—
10.00 to < 100.00%	119	18.25	57.88	267.81	—	—
100.00%	—	100.00	—	100.00	—	—
Eligible Margin Loans - 300% RW	—	—	—	—	—	—
OTC Derivatives, Repo-style Transactions and Margin Loans Subtotal	57,780	0.18	56.63	18.92	—	—
Total	\$ 439,480	0.18 %	30.85 %	13.30 %	\$ 49,953	72.19 %

(a) Weighted averages have been weighted by the sum of EAD within each of the PD bands.

(b) Undrawn amount is defined as the difference between the drawn balance and the limit.

(c) Weighted average credit conversion factor ("CCF") has been weighted by the sum of undrawn amount within each of the PD bands.

Retail quantification

Retail Segmentation Process

The retail segmentation process uses various factors relevant to the credit risk of retail borrowers to group those borrowers into pools for risk quantification purposes. Quantification of each parameter (PD, LGD and EAD) then occurs at the pool level.

In the first phase of segmentation, the Company assigns each retail exposure to one of three retail subcategories:

- 1) **Exposures Secured by Residential Mortgages** – include primarily mortgages (first and subsequent) on one-to-four-family residential properties.

- 2) **Qualifying Revolving Exposures** – include revolving exposures unconditionally cancelable by the Company, with total exposure less than \$100,000.
- 3) **Other Retail Exposures** – include exposures where the Company provides consumer and non-consumer lines of credit to certain unaffiliated third-party borrowers that are secured by securities owned by the borrowers or certain unaffiliated-third party guarantors.

Within each of these broader segments, we delineate additional pools based on relevant risk criteria. The pooling methodology aims to provide meaningful differentiation so that there are no material differences in the EL severity of individual exposures

within each pool. Every retail exposure must be categorized in this way.

Retail Parameters

PD, LGD and EAD parameter calculations are performed on non-defaulted retail exposures. For defaulted exposures we do not need these parameters because they are automatically risk weighted at 100%. The calculations are done at both the pool and sub pool level and mapped to each retail exposure within these pools. Historical data retention is a critical component of calculation and validation of retail parameters. External data augments our internal data where doing so provides more robust risk estimates.

The following table presents BNY's retail exposures.

Retail exposures by PD at June 30, 2026		EAD	Weighted Average PD (a)	Weighted Average LGD (a)	Weighted Average RW (a)	Undrawn Amount (b)
(dollar amounts in millions)						
Residential mortgage						
0.05 to < 0.10%	\$	10,354	0.08 %	45.87 %	8.81 %	\$ 200
0.10 to < 0.15%		69	0.11	28.79	7.36	—
0.25 to < 0.35%		1	0.27	67.00	33.55	—
0.50 to < 0.75%		46	0.51	39.66	31.65	—
2.50 to < 5.50%		26	3.55	40.92	112.45	—
5.50 to < 10.00%		8	7.80	44.90	184.25	—
100.00% (default)		24	99.89	42.41	99.89	—
Revolving						
0.05 to < 0.10%		14	0.08	77.66	14.92	8
100.00% (default)		—	100.00	100.00	100.00	—
Other Retail						
5.00 to < 6.00%		17	5.53	100.00	149.12	—
100.00% (default)		—	100.00	—	100.00	—
Total retail exposure	\$	10,559	0.33 %	45.85 %	9.75 %	\$ 208

(a) Weighted averages have been weighted by the sum of EAD within each of the PD bands.

(b) Undrawn amount is defined as the difference between the drawn balance and the limit.

Counterparty Credit Risk for Derivative Contracts, Repo-style Transactions and Eligible Margin Loans

BNY engages in trading activities on behalf of its customers in the FX cash and derivatives markets. As a result, BNY regularly enters into forward-settling financial contracts with customers ("counterparties"), the market values of which contracts will fluctuate day-to-day based on prevailing market conditions. Whenever the market value of such positions is positive, it represents an effective extension of credit by the Company to the customer. If the customer were not to perform on its obligations, BNY would be at risk of suffering an

Defaulted Retail Exposures

Retail exposures are considered defaulted if certain past due criteria are met. These criteria vary depending on segment and product type.

economic loss on the value of those contracts and may need to resort to recovering the lost value in bankruptcy proceedings. This risk is known as "counterparty default risk."

Types of counterparty default-risk include pre-settlement and settlement risk. Pre-settlement risk is the risk that a counterparty defaults before it has fulfilled all its contractual obligations causing a loss of any positive net market value to BNY. Settlement risk — sometimes called pay-away risk — is the risk that on the settlement of an FX contract, BNY pays its currency obligation to the counterparty, but the counterparty never pays in its currency obligation to BNY causing a loss of the entire contract principal.

Additionally, BNY can suffer market losses due to the deterioration of the credit quality of a counterparty short of its non-performance or default. Because the counterparty's credit quality is factored into the market valuation of the portfolio held with that counterparty, falling credit quality can reduce this value and require a negative adjustment in our income statement. A significant and broad-based deterioration in credit quality across BNY's trading counterparties can result in a material loss of market value. This risk is known as CVA risk.

Counterparty default risk and CVA risk together represent two components of overall counterparty risk assumed by BNY in its FX and derivative trading activities.

BNY's counterparty risk is heavily concentrated in our largest bank subsidiary, The Bank of New York Mellon, resulting from activities of its Execution Services platform which operates in FX cash markets, and also in interest rate and FX derivative markets.

BNY engages in a variety of risk management activities to control and limit the degree of counterparty risk assumed by its businesses including managing credit risk at the counterparty, portfolio and country level. These risk management activities include:

- Limit management of various counterparty-level exposure metrics;
- Centrally cleared trades;
- Netting agreements and collateral exchange terms with counterparties;
- Approval and monitoring of collateral exchanges;
- Calculation and review of stress and sensitivity metrics;
- Monitoring of wrong-way risk reports;
- Review of country-level risk concentrations;
- Monitoring of settlement failures;
- Special review of "large-exposure" counterparties;
- Economic capital analyses;
- Active hedging of CVA risk by the business; and
- Other ad hoc analyses.

Additionally, we have executed legal agreements with many of our counterparties that help to reduce counterparty risk inherent in FX and derivative trading activity.

The most common legal agreement used by market participants is a master netting agreement that can significantly reduce exposure by permitting effective offsets to "negatively valued" trades existing with the counterparty.

Master netting agreements used in connection with our FX and derivative trading activity are usually based on the ISDA Master Agreement developed by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA"). Additionally, within a master netting agreement, collateral exchange terms can be defined in a Credit Support Annex ("CSA") to establish rules by which the trading counterparties are required to post variation margin collateral against the market value of open trades covered by the agreement, and in some CSAs, post initial margin collateral based on the size, type and tenor of the transaction.

In certain cases, BNY will establish collateral exchange terms outside a CSA on a contract by contract basis. This is known as trade-specific collateral, and can take the form of an initial cash or security posting to cover the potential future exposure of a particular trade.

Additionally, optional termination triggers can be defined within a master agreement (known as Additional Termination Events) that permit BNY to force the counterparty to immediately cash-settle the current market value of all open contracts if the counterparty's credit rating falls below a certain trigger level. These termination events protect us from having to hold on to open contracts with a counterparty experiencing credit difficulties. When both netting and collateral terms are actively implemented, counterparty risk can be reduced to a small fraction of what it would be otherwise. These arrangements never completely eliminate counterparty risk, but they are effective in significantly reducing that risk.

Economic Capital

BNY has implemented a methodology to estimate Company-wide economic capital needs to support the safe operation of its various businesses including the FX and derivative businesses, repo-style transactions and eligible margin loans. The computation and review of economic capital is a part of BNY's ICAAP and, along with regulatory capital, represents a key risk management activity. This ensures that the actual level of capital held by the Company is

commensurate with its risk profile and that it is sufficient to provide the financial flexibility to undertake future strategic business initiatives.

Credit Limits

We assess the credit risk of our counterparties through regular examination of their financial statements, confidential communication with the management of those counterparties and regular monitoring of publicly available credit rating information. This and other information is used to develop proprietary credit rating metrics used to assess credit quality.

For FX and derivative businesses, First Line of Defense (1LOD) Credit Underwriting teams propose limits which are reviewed, challenged and approved by Second Line of Defense (2LOD) Credit Risk Officers. The counterparty limits are monitored to control the pre-settlement and settlement risk of trades. For FX trading, daily settlement limits are established to control the aggregate size of FX notional amounts permitted to settle with a counterparty on any given settlement date.

Pre-settlement risk for the FX and derivative businesses is managed through limits established on a stressed exposure and the maximum tenor of contracts. The stressed exposure calculation — internally called Cross-Product Potential Risk (“CPPR”) — captures the 99th percentile peak exposure that could be produced by a counterparty’s portfolio over its lifetime. It is based on a Monte Carlo simulation of market factors that impact the pricing of the contracts and considers diversification of exposures across product categories and any netting and collateral arrangements that may be in place. A tenor limit works in conjunction with the CPPR limit to control pre-settlement exposures and defines the longest trade maturity BNY is willing to accept with a counterparty. CPPR limits are actively monitored using intraday reports and desktop monitoring tools.

We also measure the risk of potential credit loss in Securities Financing Transactions (“SFT”), where we may assume credit exposure risk to counterparties under certain market conditions. The internal risk metric used to measure this risk for most SFTs is Counterparty Potential Future Exposure (“CPFE”). CPFE estimates potential realized peak credit exposure to a counterparty under simulated market

conditions and is calculated using an historical simulation methodology at a 99% confidence level.

CPFE is calculated for SFT counterparties with whom BNY has a direct principal transactional relationship and also for SFT clients whose losses BNY indemnifies as agent. CPFE exposure estimates and takes into account the time to liquidate collateral upon a counterparty’s default and represents net unsecured exposure that may be realized after the default of the counterparty and after collateral has been liquidated, taking netting sets and legal enforceability into account.

We manage SFT exposure using a combination of both CPFE and notional limits for each individual counterparty. Usage against CPFE and the notional limit is monitored at the individual counterparty level as well as at the level of the counterparty’s ultimate parent. CPFE and notional exposure is provided daily through standard reporting. Reporting includes detailed information, such as CPFE and notional usage, the transaction type, collateral type and market value. CPFE and notional exposures are monitored daily.

Detailed policies and procedures govern the overall limit management process (including CPPR and CPFE) and cover activities such as:

- Formal acknowledgment procedures for limit excesses;
- On-site credit officers to exercise approval authorities and escalation procedures when those limits are exceeded;
- Approval and monitoring of collateral;
- Monitoring of settlement fails; and
- Periodic portfolio and documentation reviews.

We manage credit risk at the individual exposure, portfolio and country level. Credit risk at the individual exposure level is managed through the credit approval system involving Credit Portfolio Managers (“CPM”), Credit Division Heads, the CCO and the CRO. This group, collectively, “Credit Risk Management,” is responsible for approving the size, terms and maturity of all credit exposures as well as the ongoing monitoring of the exposures. BNY employs an electronic signature system for all credit approvals whereby a proposal must have the electronic signature approval of CPM, or higher (unless delegated per policy). In addition, CPMs are

responsible for reviewing and challenge of the risk ratings proposed by the ILOD on each exposure.

Risk Tolerance

BNY will assume credit risk to the extent that it can maintain Tier 1 capital in excess of economic capital at a 99.9% confidence interval. Credit risk is also managed by annually setting guideline limits on economic capital. The Risk Policy Manual, changes to which are reviewed annually by the Risk Committee of the Board of Directors, contains these guideline limits. In effect, internal credit guidelines are set and monitored for those activities that generate credit risk typically based on the size of the exposure and the maximum tenor of the extended credit.

Disclosure of contingent features in OTC derivative instruments

Certain OTC derivative contracts and/or collateral agreements contain credit-risk contingent features triggered upon a rating downgrade in which the counterparty has the right to request additional collateral or the right to terminate the contracts in a net liability position.

The following table shows the aggregate fair value of OTC derivative contracts in net liability positions that contained credit-risk contingent features and the value of collateral that has been posted.

<i>(in millions)</i>	June 30, 2026
Aggregate fair value of OTC derivatives in net liability positions (a)	\$ 1,451
Collateral posted	\$ 1,840

(a) Before consideration of cash collateral.

The aggregate fair value of OTC derivative contracts containing credit-risk contingent features can fluctuate from quarter to quarter due to changes in market conditions, composition of counterparty trades, new business or changes to the contingent features.

The Bank of New York Mellon, our largest banking subsidiary, enters into the substantial majority of

our OTC derivative contracts and/or collateral agreements. As such, the contingent features may be triggered if The Bank of New York Mellon's long-term issuer rating was downgraded.

The following table shows the fair value of contracts falling under early termination provisions that were in net liability positions for three key ratings triggers.

Potential close-out exposures (fair value) (a)	June 30, 2026
<i>(in millions)</i>	
If The Bank of New York Mellon's rating was changed to: (b)	
A3/A-	\$ 104
Baa2/BBB	\$ 266
Ba1/BB+	\$ 1,322

(a) The amounts represent potential total close-out values if The Bank of New York Mellon's long-term issuer rating were to immediately drop to the indicated levels, and do not reflect collateral posted.

(b) Represents ratings by Moody's/S&P.

If The Bank of New York Mellon's debt rating had fallen below investment grade on June 30, 2026, existing collateral arrangements would have required us to post additional collateral of \$99 million.

Derivatives

On January 1, 2022, BNY adopted the standardized approach for counterparty credit risk ("SA-CCR"), which replaced the Current Exposure Method ("CEM") for calculating the exposure amount of derivative contracts for Standardized Approach RWA and Advanced Approaches RWA as well as supplementary leverage exposure used to calculate the Supplementary Leverage Ratio ("SLR") in the regulatory capital framework. Under SA-CCR, EAD for derivative contracts is equal to a fixed alpha factor of 1.4 multiplied by the sum of the replacement cost of the derivative and the potential future exposure ("PFE") as prescribed in the U.S. capital rules. The alpha factor is not applied to the derivative contracts with the commercial end-user counterparties. For additional information on SA-CCR, see "Supervision and Regulation" in our 2025 Annual Report.

The table below presents BNY's derivative exposures.

Derivatives (in millions)	June 30, 2026							
	OTC Derivatives			Cleared Derivatives				
	Replacement			Replacement			Total EAD	
	Cost (a)	PFE (a)	EAD	Cost (a)	PFE (a)	EAD		
Interest rate contracts	\$ 650	\$ 981	\$ 1,631	\$ 923	\$ 1,276	\$ 2,199	\$ 3,830	
Foreign exchange contracts	4,624	11,106	15,730	—	—	—	15,730	
Equity derivative contracts	1,275	2,073	3,348	14,726	393	15,119	18,467	
Total exposure after netting and collateral (b)	\$ 6,549	\$ 14,160	\$ 20,709	\$ 15,649	\$ 1,669	\$ 17,318	\$ 38,027	

(a) Replacement cost and PFE amounts reflect the alpha factor.

(b) Collateral consists of cash and securities.

Periodically, we purchase single name credit default swaps, credit default swap index ("CDX") and CMBS index protection or other forms of credit protection to reduce our exposure to certain institutions or industries. The table below shows the notional amount of credit derivatives which BNY used for its own credit portfolio. As of June 30, 2026, BNY had purchased \$249 million of credit derivatives protection. The exposure categories presented below represent those of the protection provider rather than the underlying borrower.

Credit derivatives	June 30, 2026	
(in millions)	Purchased	Sold
CDX	\$ 80	\$ —
Credit default swaps	169	—
Total credit derivatives	\$ 249	\$ —

Repo-style/SFT Transactions

A securities lending transaction is a fully collateralized transaction in which the owner of a security agrees to lend the security (typically through an agent, in our case, The Bank of New York Mellon) to a borrower, usually a broker-dealer or bank, on an open, overnight or term basis, under the terms of a prearranged contract.

We typically lend securities with indemnification against borrower default. We generally require the borrower to provide collateral with a minimum value of 102% of the fair value of the securities borrowed, which is monitored on a daily basis, thus reducing credit risk. The principal risk arising from these transactions is credit risk, with market risk acting indirectly by influencing the magnitude of that exposure. Specifically, market movements can affect the value of loaned securities, collateral, and reinvested cash collateral, thereby increasing counterparty exposure rather than generating direct trading losses. BNY manages these risks through

established policies, defined exposure limits, and a disciplined approach to transacting primarily with highly rated counterparties. BNY has indemnified activity of \$717 billion at June 30, 2026. Securities lending indemnifications were secured by collateral of \$761 billion at June 30, 2026.

CIBC Mellon, a joint venture between BNY and the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce ("CIBC"), engages in securities lending activities. CIBC Mellon, BNY and CIBC jointly and severally indemnify securities lenders against specific types of borrower default. At June 30, 2026, \$71 billion of borrowings at CIBC Mellon, for which BNY acts as agent on behalf of CIBC Mellon clients, were secured by collateral of \$76 billion. If, upon a default, a borrower's collateral was not sufficient to cover its related obligations, certain losses related to the indemnification could be covered by the indemnitors.

Under the U.S. capital rules, all indemnified securities lending activities, including securities lent with cash collateral received and all indemnified cash reinvestments into reverse repos are included in our RWA calculation.

For the above mentioned repo-style transactions, the U.S. capital rules allow banks to calculate EAD by using the collateral haircut approach or, after receiving prior written approval from the regulators, the Simple Value-at-Risk ("Simple VaR") or Internal Models Method ("IMM"). As an alternative to mitigating credit risk through these EAD calculation options, banks can apply the LGD adjustment approach used in calculating the risk weight and expected credit loss. BNY has received written approval from the regulators to use the Simple VaR approach to calculate EAD on our Agency Securities Lending portfolio. As a result, BNY is currently applying the Simple VaR approach for its Agency Securities Lending business and the collateral haircut

approach or adjusted LGD approach for all other repo-style transactions.

The following table provides EAD for all counterparty credit risk of repo-style transactions, by credit risk mitigation method and product type.

Counterparty credit risk exposure - analysis by product at June 30, 2026				
<i>(in millions)</i>	EAD Adjustment Method	LGD Adjustment Method	Total	
Securities Lending	\$ 9,419	\$ —	\$ 9,419	
Repo/Reverse Repo and Securities Borrowing	20,395	—	20,395	
Broker/Dealer and Margin Lending	6,563	694	7,257	
Cleared Repo/Reverse Repo	6,519	—	6,519	
Total	\$ 42,896	\$ 694	\$ 43,590	

Credit Risk Mitigation

BNY manages credit risk through a variety of credit risk mitigation strategies including the following (each of which is discussed in additional detail below):

- Asset sales (for traditional loan products);
- Active collateralization (for repo-style transactions, foreign exchange and derivative transactions);
- Master agreements/netting arrangements (for foreign exchange, derivatives and SFTs); and
- Credit derivatives.

Credit risk arises from several sources, including traditional lending activities and credit products, operational credit exposures, Securities Lending activities and Execution Services exposures. Each of these items is discussed below.

Traditional lending activities and credit products, include:

- Loans, lease commitments, advised and committed lines of credit (used or unused) and guidance lines for commercial transactions, whether secured or unsecured;
- Facilities to issue or confirm L/Cs;
- Acceptances;
- Overnight overdraft lines;
- Interbank money market/placement and federal funds;

- Federal funds swaps and segregated offset finance products;
- Receivables purchases without recourse to the seller;
- Swingline loans;
- Due from “Nostros” accounts;
- Secured overnight exposure to broker/dealers; and
- Repurchase agreements/reverse repurchase agreements.

Operational credit exposure consists of extensions of intraday credit provided by the businesses in our Securities Services and Market and Wealth Services business segments, which include principally asset servicing, cash management, corporate trust, treasury services, broker-dealer services and collateral services. This type of credit exposure is associated with products with low historical credit losses. Operational credit exposures are also short-term in nature and normally require the occurrence of two separate events to generate a loss (e.g. the transaction fails causing an extension of credit and then the counterparty defaults).

Examples of operational credit exposures include:

- Daylight and overnight lending facilities, including daylight overdrafts, day loans to broker/dealers; and
- Securities-related facilities, including tri-party target limits, custody and clearing facilities, margin deposit limits, guidance lines for corporate trust and government securities clearance.

Securities lending activities consist of the temporary exchange of lendable securities for acceptable collateral between a lender and an approved borrower. The transaction is facilitated by a lending agent, as either agent or principal that stands between the lender and the ultimate borrower. Credit risk arises for Securities Lending activities when:

- The borrower fails to return the securities on loan and BNY is forced to replace them, either by purchasing or borrowing the securities which may have appreciated or depreciated, in value. The credit risk is limited to the difference between the market value of the collateral held and the cost of the replacement securities.

- BNY, acting as an agent, indemnifies its institutional lenders against loss on the cash or other collateral received.
- BNY, acting as a principal to the trades, in the event of a counterparty default, assumes the risk of both any difference between the market value of collateral held and the cost of replacement of securities, and the need to post an additional amount for margin posted when borrowing the securities.

Execution Services exposure consists of FX and derivative exposure used for trading and interest rate management purposes. In providing FX and derivative products to our clients, we are assuming counterparty credit risk. BNY would incur a loss on a derivative contract if rates move in our favor vis-à-vis the transaction and if the counterparty defaults. Certain derivatives are centrally cleared whereby BNY does not face the original counterparty, but instead the central counterparty (“CCP”). As a clearing member of a CCP, we have the potential for loss if one of the CCP’s counterparties were to default and the loss experienced by the CCP is larger than the collateral posted to the CCP by the defaulting counterparty and the reserve fund.

Examples of Execution Services exposure include:

- Foreign currency contracts;
- Foreign currency option contracts;
- Interest rate swaps, options, caps and floors;
- Futures and forward contracts;
- Equity options;
- Total return swaps; and
- Credit default swaps

Asset Sales

In certain instances, we may also decide to sell part of our credit exposure. This type of mitigation is used primarily for traditional lending exposure. The decision to sell or hedge an asset is based on relative cost as well as the potential impact to the client. Under the terms of credit agreements, we are typically required to seek the client’s approval before selling part of our exposure.

Active Collateralization

The acceptance of collateral with at least daily margining is used for various exposure types. In

Securities Lending, for example, the borrower is required to post collateral for lending activity with additional margins that typically range from 102% to 110%. The collateral received from the borrower falls within the acceptable collateral types defined under the U.S. capital rules, including cash collateral and other types of security collateral including sovereign bonds, both U.S. and non-U.S., and equity security collateral. The collateral amounts with the borrowers are adjusted at the beginning of every day to reflect the prior days’ market activity and the collateral amounts are adjusted throughout the day for loan activity with the borrower.

Collateral is also used to mitigate the counterparty credit risk associated with FX and derivative transactions. Some collateral agreements may feature downgrade provisions that reduce the unsecured threshold if a counterparty were to be downgraded by a major rating agency such as S&P’s, Moody’s or Fitch.

Acceptable collateral for derivatives includes cash, U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency securities and/or international equivalents and any other collateral that Risk Management may approve from time to time. The collateral is generally marked-to-market daily, and any haircuts applied to these securities to determine the effective total amount posted must follow both regulations and our internal policies. These haircuts are intended to protect BNY in case the value of the collateral suddenly falls under changing market conditions.

Wrong-way Risk

BNY identifies, monitors and manages wrong-way risk within its counterparty credit risk framework. Wrong-way risk results when the exposure to the counterparty increases when the counterparty’s credit quality deteriorates. That is, it arises when default risk and credit exposure increase together.

BNY distinguishes between specific wrong-way risk, where the relationship between the counterparty and the exposure or collateral is direct and explicit, and general wrong-way risk, where the relationship is indirect but driven by material common risk factors. Specific wrong-way risk exposures are generally avoided and treated as unsecured for risk management purposes, while general wrong-way risk is subject to identification, monitoring, limits,

escalation and stress testing as part of BNY's broader risk management practices.

Master Agreements and Netting

Master agreements and netting are used to mitigate counterparty credit risk as a result of markets exposure. All counterparties that trade term exposures are expected to sign a master agreement containing netting provisions and clauses that seek to minimize credit exposures. The principal Master Agreements used are based on the following form agreements: the Master Repurchase Agreement, the Global Master Repurchase Agreement, the Master Securities Loan Agreement, the Global Master Securities Lending Agreement, and ISDA Master Agreement.

Netting provisions are contained in the Master Agreements described above. Netting may take two different forms: close-out netting and settlement netting. Close-out netting refers to an agreement between BNY and a counterparty so that upon specified events of default, the non-defaulting party can require that:

- All open transactions be marked-to-market (present valued) and summed;
- A single net payment be made as final settlement to whichever party holds the overall profit from those transactions; and
- Collateral be liquidated (if held).

Settlement netting (also called payment netting) requires that all payment obligations between us and a counterparty, that are payable on the same settlement date, be netted to produce a single payment obligation for each currency pair traded.

Credit Derivatives

Credit Derivatives may be used with traditional lending and extensions of credit under four circumstances: (1) to hedge large individual name concentrations, (2) to hedge large industry concentrations, (3) to hedge idiosyncratic risk, in unique situations where such risk is present, and (4) to manage CVA risk. For more information on our Credit Derivatives, see the "Counterparty Credit Risk for Derivative Contracts, Repo-style Transactions and Eligible Margin Loans" section of this Disclosure.

Concentration Risk

While traditionally concentration risk is associated with credit risk, we have taken a broader view of concentration risk. We define concentration risk as the risk of loss not only associated with outsized credit granted to individual or interrelated borrowers but also to any significant interrelated risk exposures that may produce large losses or may threaten the safety and soundness of BNY. Concentration risk may arise from connected factors which are not readily apparent and identifiable. It can take the form of intra-risk concentrations and cross-risk concentrations. Intra-risk credit concentrations may arise from out-sized credit (default) risk exposures to one or more exposure characteristics, such as a single obligor or interconnected obligors, economic sector, geographic location and/or financial instrument/product type. Cross-risk concentrations arise from out-sized exposures to one or more common exposure characteristics when viewed across multiple risk types.

We have well-established policies and procedures to identify, manage and measure credit risk concentrations through the use of the following specific limits and underwriting guidelines:

- Target portfolio values should not exceed industry or country notional exposure portfolio limits;
- Target portfolio values should not exceed industry economic capital portfolio limits; and
- Prior to the credit underwriting approval process, requests for committed credit facilities and advised lines of credit are reviewed to confirm that total relationship revenue relative to aggregate customer risk exposure is adequate. ILOD, Global Client Management, Lines of Business and Credit Risk Management functions present transactions in a weekly meeting called Clearinghouse.

Additionally, BNY's Portfolio Management Committee and Councils identify and manage credit risk concentrations by periodically reviewing exposure segments with similar risk profiles which may include:

- Exposures administered under a special industries lending group;

- Individual country exposures administered by the Global Banks, Broker Dealer, Insurance and Affiliates Credit Group;
- Any industry which has an industry lending guideline; and
- Any group of exposures or customers within an industry which, as a group, pose significant credit or operational risks to the Company due to the nature of our business in that industry or due to the financial condition of the industry.

Each Portfolio Management Committee or Councils recommend specific exposure segments that warrant a more comprehensive review. The reviews are conducted by the appropriate Credit Division Head, one or more Credit Risk Managers, ILOD representatives and may include one or more representatives from the appropriate Global Client Management or Business Division. This group produces a report identifying the quantity, quality, and liquidity of the credit exposure to the exposure segment by calculating the total exposure, exposure composition and the weighted-average borrower and facility ratings. The report and its conclusions are presented to the appropriate Portfolio Management Committee or Council.

BNY also accounts for credit risk concentrations in its capital estimates through its credit risk economic capital model.

Additionally, we use enterprise-wide stress testing to evaluate cross-risk concentrations. One of the goals of enterprise-wide stress testing is to assess the worst impact resulting from a stressed scenario on one or more interrelated exposure characteristics across all risk types so that potentially significant cross-risk concentrations can be understood.

For more detail regarding our credit risk management practices, see the “Credit Risk: General Disclosures” and “Credit Risk: Disclosures for Portfolios Subject to IRB Risk-based Capital Formulas” sections of this Disclosure.

Securitization Exposures

Overview

We are exposed to securitization products as a result of either purchasing securitizations originated by others into our investment portfolio, as a derivative

counterparty to securitization transactions, or through extending credit to special purpose vehicles or non-operating companies defined as securitization exposures under the U.S. capital rules. The exposure amount and RWA for securitization exposures found in the banking book, and non-covered positions from the trading book are calculated in accordance with the U.S. capital rules hierarchy of approaches. At June 30, 2026, BNY was primarily utilizing the Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach (“SSFA”). Currently, we do not hedge our securitization portfolio.

Risk Governance

Our ALCO, which reports to the Enterprise Risk Committee (“ERC”), has several responsibilities related to balance sheet management, including providing oversight of the investment portfolio, monitoring interest rate sensitivity, monitoring balance sheet and capital ratios and ensuring adequate liquidity. The ERC is the most senior risk governance group at the Company and is responsible for oversight of all risk management, compliance and ethics activities and processes.

Objectives of the Company’s Securitization Activity

BNY is exposed to securitization exposures primarily from three activities: investment portfolio exposures, as a derivative counterparty to securitization transactions and a liquidity provider to securitization special purpose vehicles (“SPVs”). These securitization activities are described in more detail in the following paragraphs.

Investment Portfolio Exposures

BNY is an investor in securities that qualify as securitization exposures under Basel III. These are composed mostly of highly rated, investment grade securities and include, among other types, investments in RMBS, CMBS, CLO and other asset-backed securities (“ABS”). At June 30, 2026, BNY’s investment portfolio did not have resecuritization exposures. The investment portfolio in its entirety is managed by our Chief Investment Office within Finance and the portfolio’s direction, composition, and riskiness is monitored on a daily basis.

As discussed in additional detail below, RWA for securitization exposures are calculated using either the Supervisory Formula Approach (“SFA”), SSFA, a 100% risk weight (for OTC derivatives that meet the

criteria) or the 1250% risk weighting approach depending on the appropriate treatment for the exposure.

Derivative Counterparty to Securitization Transactions

BNY has Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange derivative exposures that support securitization transactions and are considered securitization exposures under the U.S. capital rules. These exposures generally receive a 100% risk-weight.

Liquidity Provider

BNY extends a limited number of liquidity facilities to SPVs. Typically, these exposures are subject to the SSFA treatment if the SFA cannot be applied.

Accounting Policies

For a discussion of the Company's accounting policies for securitization activities, see Note 1 - Summary of significant accounting policies, Note 3 - Securities, Note 13 - Variable interest entities, Note 19 - Fair value measurement and Note 20 - Fair value option of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2025 Annual Report.

Calculation of RWA for Securitization Exposures

Under the U.S. capital rules, the hierarchy for securitization exposures is as follows:

- Banking organizations are required to deduct from CET1 any after-tax gain-on-sale resulting from a securitization and apply a 1250% risk weight to the portion of credit-enhancing interest-only strip ("CEIO") that does not constitute an after-tax gain-on-sale. If the after-tax gain-on-sale results from a securitization transaction that meets the operational criteria for recognizing the transfer of risk, the deduction of any after-tax gain-on-sale is not required. BNY currently does not have any securitization exposures that are subject to this deduction or CEIOs that would require a 1250% risk weight.

- For those securitization exposures not subject to capital deduction, a banking organization is required to assign a risk weight to the securitization exposure using the SFA where data to calculate the SFA is available. BNY has adopted the SFA treatment for its securitization exposures that are CLOs and Variable funding notes.
- Banking organizations not adopting the SFA are permitted to apply the SSFA under certain situations. The SSFA does not rely on credit ratings when determining the amount of risk that securitization exposures represent.
- Banking organizations may assign a risk weight of 100% to certain derivatives that have a first priority claim on cash flows from the underlying exposures of a securitization exposure under the U.S. capital rules.
- Securitization exposures that do not fall under any of the foregoing categories are assigned a 1250% risk weight. In addition, a banking organization must assign a 1250% risk weight to any securitization exposures with respect to which it fails to satisfy applicable due diligence requirements.

The following table presents securitization exposures determined under the U.S. capital rules segmented by the risk weight methodology. The RWA for most securitization exposures is calculated using the SSFA. For exposures, such as CLOs, for which BNY has sufficient data, RWA is computed using the SFA treatment. OTC derivatives that support securitization exposures, with the exception of those that do not have first priority on the cash flow waterfall from the underlying exposure, receive a 100% risk weighting. All other securitization exposures without available treatment, or with respect to which a banking organization failed to satisfy applicable due diligence requirements receive a 1250% risk weight.

Outstanding traditional securitization exposures by exposure type at June 30, 2026*(in millions)*

Exposure Category	Securitization exposure amount			Securitization RWA		
	On-balance	Off-balance	Total	On-balance	Off-balance	Total
RMBS	\$ 1,528	\$ —	\$ 1,528	\$ 376	\$ —	\$ 376
ABS	313	—	313	68	—	68
CMBS	1,971	—	1,971	394	—	394
CLO	8,925	—	8,925	1,785	—	1,785
Other (a)	338	356	694	260	161	421
Total outstanding securitization exposures (b)	\$ 13,075	\$ 356	\$ 13,431	\$ 2,883	\$ 161	\$ 3,044

(a) Includes facilities to SPVs and securitization exposure that support derivative transactions.

(b) BNY did not have any synthetic securitization exposures as of June 30, 2026.

The following table presents securitization exposures by risk weight bands.

Securitization EAD and RWA by risk-weight bands at June 30, 2026*(in millions)*

	SFA		SSFA		Other (a)		Total	
	EAD	RWA	EAD	RWA	EAD	RWA	EAD	RWA
Securitization:								
<= 25%	\$ 7,876	\$ 1,575	\$ 5,269	\$ 1,054	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13,145	\$ 2,629
>25% to <= 100%	—	—	247	126	17	17	264	143
>100% to <= 250%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
>250% to <= 650%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
>650% to <= 1250%	—	—	—	—	22	272	22	272
Resecuritization:								
>100% to <= 250%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
>250% to <= 650%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total securitization positions retained or purchased	\$ 7,876	\$ 1,575	\$ 5,516	\$ 1,180	\$ 39	\$ 289	\$ 13,431	\$ 3,044

(a) Includes securitization exposures that support derivative transactions that are included in the SSFA line item in the FFIEC 101 schedule.

Operational Risk

In providing a comprehensive array of products and services, we may be exposed to operational risks. To address these risks, we maintain comprehensive policies and procedures and an internal control framework designed to provide a sound operational environment. For information on our various risk activities and groups, including operational risks, board oversight and governance, operational risk management, and accountability of businesses, see “Risk Management” in our 2025 Annual Report.

Operational Risk Tools

Several enterprise-wide tools are used to identify, assess and monitor operational risk. The business environment and internal control factors tools include a detailed risk and control self-assessment and high level self-assessment program. Key risk and key performance indicators help us to proactively assess changes to the risk environment. Internal operational

loss data capture drives root cause analysis and lessons learned for continuous improvement.

Operational losses are captured in the general ledger accounts and then linked to the operational risk events within our central database. Our policies require that information on operational risk events with a financial impact of $\geq \$10,000$ be maintained in a central database. Business managers are required to escalate to senior management operational risk events where there may be a loss within 72 hours of identification if the estimated financial impact is $\geq \$500,000$ and 24 hours of identification if the estimated financial impact is $\geq \$1,000,000$. Additionally, operational risk event loss metrics are reported monthly to the Operational Risk Committee. The loss event information from the central database is input to the operational risk capital model along with external loss data.

Risk and Control Self Assessments (“RCSAs”)

RCSAs are completed by the business to identify the risks and controls associated with their key business practices. The RCSA policy includes a matrix which is a qualitative guideline to assist the risk owner when assessing inherent risk, quality of controls and residual risk.

Scenario Analysis

Scenario analysis helps management contemplate events that may reasonably be anticipated to occur, but have not yet occurred, so that action plans can be dynamic.

Business Continuity

We regularly assess and monitor operational risk in our business and provide for disaster and business recovery planning, including geographical diversification of our facilities. For information on our business continuity strategies, see “Risk Factors” in our 2025 Annual Report.

Advanced Measurement Approach (“AMA”) Methodology

BNY’s AMA methodology for calculating the capital requirement for operational risk is based on a Loss Distribution Approach (“LDA”). Under the LDA, loss frequency distribution and loss severity distribution are separately estimated from historical loss data, and then combined using Monte Carlo simulations to generate a loss distribution. The loss distribution is used to derive the EL, unexpected loss (“UL”) and capital.

BNY’s LDA model uses internal and external loss data as inputs and estimates an annual loss distribution for each unit of measure, and an aggregate annual loss distribution for the corporation with a bottom-up approach. External losses, including fines and penalties levied against institutions in the financial services industry, particularly those that relate to businesses in which we operate, could impact the amount of capital that we are required to hold. The model calculates capital without dependence assumption (i.e., without diversification benefit) and required capital with assumption of dependence across units of measure (i.e., with diversification benefit). The dependence is modeled with a copula method using the correlations

of annual losses between units of measure, with the correlations being estimated from historical internal loss data. The required regulatory capital over a one-year time horizon is the required capital with dependence assumption, and is derived from the annual loss distribution with a confidence level of 99.9%. The capital includes UL and EL without any offsets to the EL.

The Model Risk Management Group (“MRMG”) is responsible for reviewing and challenging the operational risk model or changes to the model. The model is run quarterly based on updated parameters. Independent validation of the model is performed annually by the MRMG. The Head of MRMG is responsible for approving the model for use.

Use of Insurance for the Purpose of Mitigating Operational Risk

BNY mitigates operational risk with a broad range of insurance policies that cover operational events. The insurance policies that BNY holds include Financial Institution Bond, Bankers Professional Liability, Directors’ and Officers’ Liability, All Risk Property policies, and Enterprise Cyber/Privacy Liability. Operational loss data are provided to our Corporate Insurance Group in order to raise awareness of significant operational risk issues to ensure appropriate insurance coverage is in place or to enhance existing insurance policies. Although we maintain insurance policies to mitigate operational events, insurance recoveries are not included in the loss information used in our operational risk capital model.

Equities Not Subject to Market Risk Rule

The principal functions of the securities portfolios are to generate net interest revenue or capital gains over time, to adjust the interest rate sensitivity gapping position of the Company, to support the liquidity management and funding of the Company, to satisfy deposit pledging requirements, and membership requirements of certain organizations. In recognition of these different functions, the Company’s securities portfolio is divided into three portfolios: trading securities (market risk), available-for-sale securities, and held-to-maturity securities.

Accounting and Valuation Methodologies

For information on the Accounting and Valuation Methodologies of equity investments, see Note 1 - Summary of significant accounting policies and Note 19 - Fair value measurement of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2025 Annual Report and Note 12 - Fair value measurement of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-Q.

Risk-Weighting Approaches

As described below, we use three approaches to risk weight our equity exposures that are not subject to the Market Risk Capital Rules: Simple Risk-Weight Approach ("SRWA"), Simple Modified Look-Through Approach ("SMLT"), and Full Look-Through Approach ("FLTA").

SRWA

BNY determines the RWA amount for equity exposures, except for equity exposures to investment funds, by multiplying the adjusted carrying value of the equity exposure by the lowest applicable risk weight. Under SRWA:

- Equity investments in sovereigns, certain political subdivisions, renewable energy, the Federal Home Loan Bank or Farmer Mac may be risk-weighted below 100%.
- A "non-significant equity exposure," equity exposures (excluding significant investments in the capital of an unconsolidated financial institution in the form of common stock and exposures to certain investment firms) with an aggregate adjusted carrying value of 10% or less of a bank's total capital, is risk weighted at 100%.
- An investment in the same equity instrument that exceeds 10% of total capital will be risk weighted at 300% (publicly traded equities) or 400% (non-publicly traded).

- Equity exposures to qualified community development investments are risk-weighted at 100%.
- Significant investments in unconsolidated financial institutions in the form of common stock that are not deducted from regulatory capital are weighted at 250%, while investments in certain firms with securitization features are risk weighted at 600%.

SMLT

The SMLT approach is used to calculate the RWA amount of equity exposure to investment funds. Under the SMLT approach, the RWA amount for this type of equity exposure is equal to the adjusted carrying value of the equity exposure multiplied by the highest risk weight that applies to any exposure within the fund allowed by the prospectus to be held.

FLTA

The FLTA is used to calculate the RWA amount of the equity exposure to investment funds for which we are able to compute an RWA for each of the exposures held by the investment fund. Under the U.S. capital rules, a bank is required to calculate the RWA for each of the exposures held by the investment fund as if the exposures were held directly by us based on our proportional interest. Depending on whether the exposures were wholesale, retail, securitization, or equity exposures, a bank would apply the appropriate IRB risk-based capital treatment.

Carrying Value and Fair Market Value

At June 30, 2026, the carrying value and the fair market value of the equity investments were \$6.5 billion and \$6.6 billion, respectively.

The table below details BNY's equity exposures.

Equity exposures at June 30, 2026 <i>(in millions)</i>	EAD	RW %	RWA	Capital Required
Simple Risk Weight Approach:				
Federal Reserve Bank stock	\$ 493	0 %	\$ —	\$ —
Federal Home Loan Bank	58	20 %	12	1
Community development	1,517	100 %	1,517	121
Renewable energy investments	1,765	100 %	1,765	141
Publicly traded	863	100 %	863	69
Non-publicly traded	1,106	100 %	1,106	88
Significant investment in unconsolidated subs and covered funds	689	250 %	1,723	138
Funds with greater than material leverage	—	600 %	—	—
Subtotal- Simple Risk Weight Approach	6,491		6,986	558
Simple Modified Look-through Approach	2,043	48 %	982	79
Full Look-through Approach (a)	3,523	34 %	1,200	96
Total	\$ 12,057		\$ 9,168	\$ 733

(a) Full Look-through Approach consists primarily of Company-Owned Life Insurance.

Equity Exposures Gains and Losses

Total net realized gains from sales and liquidations of equity investments were \$22.4 million for the second quarter of 2026. The net realized gains are included in CET1, Tier 1 and Total Capital.

Market Risk

The U.S. capital rules' market risk capital rules section (the "Market Risk Capital Rule") requires us to make publicly available quantitative disclosures at least quarterly. Specifically, we are required to disclose, among other items, certain quantitative information on the following measures as applicable to the Company, including for example:

- Value-at-Risk ("VaR") based measures
- Stressed VaR based measures
- Incremental risk capital ("IRC") requirements
- Comprehensive risk measure ("CRM") capital requirements

The quantitative and qualitative information included in this quarterly disclosure is provided at the consolidated Company level.

Covered Positions

The Market Risk Capital Rule requires us to calculate the market risk regulatory capital based on the population of covered positions. Covered positions generally include all foreign exchange and commodity positions as well as assets and liabilities in our trading book that meet minimum regulatory

requirements making them subject to market risk regulatory capital requirements in the Market Risk Capital Rule.

Due to the regulatory requirements for covered positions, the population of positions included in our regulatory VaR is different from the population of positions in management VaR we disclose in our Form 10-Q and Annual Reports. Management VaR includes positions subject to internal management VaR limits. The population of covered positions in our regulatory VaR is a subset of the population of positions included in our management VaR.

Securitizations

As of the end of the second quarter of 2026, the Company's population of covered positions did not include any positions that meet the definition of a securitization position in the Market Risk Capital Rule.

Correlation Trading Positions

During the second quarter of 2026, the Company's population of covered positions did not include any correlation trading positions.

Measurement and Monitoring

The following table summarizes the minimum capital requirement and RWA for market risk calculated in accordance with the Market Risk Capital Rule.

Component (dollars in millions)	June 30, 2026	
	Capital	RWA
VaR (a)	\$ 60	\$ 750
Stressed VaR (b)	175	2,186
Specific Risk Standard Charge	127	1,584
Total Market Risk Capital and RWA	\$ 362	\$ 4,520

(a) Represents the daily average of the previous 60 business days from the period end-date times a multiplication factor determined in accordance with the Market Risk Capital Rule.

(b) Represents the weekly average of the previous 12 weeks from the period end-date times a multiplication factor determined in accordance with the Market Risk Capital Rule.

VaR-Based Measures

VaR is a measure of the dollar amount of potential loss at a specified confidence level from adverse market movements in an ordinary market environment. Our VaR methodology is based on a historical simulation. BNY uses the same modeling methodology and base market data inputs for its shareholder reporting, internal risk management and regulatory VaR calculations, relying on a four year look-back with a weighting scheme and incorporating non-linear pricing behavior of products. Regulatory VaR captures a 99% confidence level loss on a ten-day holding period. However, for shareholder reporting and internal risk management VaR, BNY captures a 99% confidence level loss based on a one-day holding period.

The following table indicates the calculated regulatory VaR amounts for the overall portfolio of covered positions as well as separate measures for interest rate, foreign exchange, equity and credit components of VaR for the second quarter of 2026 and at June 30, 2026.

VaR (a) (dollars in millions)	2Q26			June 30, 2026 (b)
	Mean	Low	High	
Interest rate	\$ 64.6	\$ 54.6	\$ 78.7	\$ 75.0
FX	69.3	58.2	79.8	75.6
Equity	0.4	0.1	2.4	0.2
Credit	3.4	2.7	4.4	4.1
Diversification	(117.7)	N/M	N/M	(135.0)
Total portfolio	\$ 20.0	\$ 15.1	\$ 23.6	\$ 19.9

(a) The ten-day, 99% confidence regulatory VaR metrics in this table are calculated over the previous 60 business days from the period end-date.

(b) VaR is calculated on the previous business day from the period end-date, last day of the calendar quarter

N/M - Because the minimum and maximum may occur on different days for different risk components, it is not meaningful to compute a portfolio diversification effect.

The following section provides additional information regarding the specific components of VaR set forth in the table above.

Composition of material portfolios of covered positions

The interest rate component of VaR represents instruments whose values are predominantly driven by interest rate levels. These instruments include, but are not limited to, U.S. Treasuries, swaps, swaptions, forward rate agreements, exchange-traded futures and options, and other interest rate derivative products.

The foreign exchange component of VaR represents instruments whose values predominantly vary with the level or volatility of currency exchange rates or interest rates. These instruments include, but are not limited to, currency balances, spot and forward transactions, currency options, and other currency derivative products.

The equity component of VaR consists of instruments that represent an ownership interest in the form of domestic and foreign common stock or other equity-linked instruments. These instruments include, but are not limited to, common stock, exchange-traded funds, preferred stock, listed equity options (puts and calls), OTC equity options, equity total return swaps, equity index futures and other equity derivative products.

The credit component of VaR represents instruments whose values are predominantly driven by credit spread levels, i.e., idiosyncratic default risk. These instruments include, but are not limited to, securities with exposures from corporate and municipal credit spreads.

The diversification component of VaR is the risk reduction benefit that occurs when combining portfolios and offsetting positions, and from the correlated behavior of risk factor movements. During the second quarter of 2026, interest rate risk ("IRR") generated 46.9% of average gross VaR, foreign exchange risk accounted for 50.3% of average gross VaR, equity risk generated 0.3% of average gross VaR and credit risk generated 2.5% of average gross VaR.

Stressed VaR Based Measures

Stressed VaR is a measure of the dollar amount of potential loss at a specified confidence level from adverse market movements in an environment of significant market stress. Stressed VaR uses the same model as our regulatory VaR, but incorporating inputs calibrated to historical data from a continuous one year stress period selected based on empirical studies. The calculation of our regulatory Stressed VaR assumes a ten-day holding period, utilizes a 99% confidence level, a 250 day look-back with a weighting scheme, and incorporates non-linear product characteristics. The ten-day regulatory Stressed VaR is derived by scaling one-day Stressed VaR to a ten-day holding period.

The following table indicates the calculated regulatory Stressed VaR amounts for the overall portfolio of covered positions as well as separate measures for interest rate, foreign exchange, equity and credit components of Stressed VaR for the second quarter of 2026 and at June 30, 2026.

Stressed VaR (a) (dollars in millions)	2Q26			June 30, 2026
	Mean	Low	High	
Interest rate	\$ 92.4	\$ 83.9	\$ 108.9	\$ 108.9
FX	74.8	69.3	88.2	88.2
Equity	2.5	0.8	4.5	0.8
Credit	3.2	2.8	3.7	3.7
Diversification	(114.6)	N/M	N/M	(137.8)
Total portfolio	\$ 58.3	\$ 54.8	\$ 63.8	\$ 63.8

(a) The ten-day, 99% confidence, regulatory Stressed VaR metrics in this table are calculated over the previous 12 weeks from the period end-date

N/M - Because the minimum and maximum may occur on different days for different risk components, it is not meaningful to compute a portfolio diversification effect.

During the second quarter of 2026, IRR generated 53.4% of average gross Stressed VaR, foreign exchange risk accounted for 43.3% of average gross Stressed VaR, equity risk generated 1.4% of average gross Stressed VaR and credit risk generated 1.9% of average gross Stressed VaR.

Specific Risk Measures

Specific risk means the risk of loss on a position that could result from factors other than broad market movements and includes event risk, default risk, and idiosyncratic risk. The Market Risk Capital Rule requires us to measure the specific risk for debt, equity and securitization positions using either our

internal models (e.g., VaR, IRC and CRM) provided our regulators approve the use of these models to measure specific risk, or the standardized measurement method. The following three sections describe our specific risk measures.

Specific Risk Standard Charge

We calculate the specific risk standard charge on a quarterly basis under the standardized measurement method. It measures specific risk pursuant to fixed risk weights, which are prescribed by the Market Risk Capital Rule.

IRC Requirements

IRC is a measure of the dollar amount of potential loss from the exposure to default and migration risks for fixed income positions in trading books. We do not use an IRC model for the calculation of our market risk regulatory capital.

CRM Requirements

CRM is a measure of the dollar amount of potential loss from the exposure to all price risks in correlation trading portfolios. The CRM model is not applicable because we do not have correlation trading positions in our population of covered positions.

Regulatory VaR Backtesting

On a daily basis, we compare one-day 99% regulatory VaR to trading profits and losses excluding fees, commissions, reserves, net interest income, and intraday trading to determine the backtest multiplier for VaR and Stressed VaR for purposes of calculating the market risk regulatory capital. This daily backtesting is also done at a sub-portfolio level and facilitates the assessment of the performance of our VaR model.

During the second quarter of 2026, for the Company, there were no occurrences where daily trading loss excluding fees, commissions, reserves, net interest income and intraday trading exceeded the calculated regulatory VaR of the overall portfolio of covered positions. For the one-year period ending at the end of the second quarter of 2026, there were two occurrences where daily trading loss excluding fees, commissions, reserves, net interest income and intraday trading exceeded the calculated regulatory VaR of the overall portfolio of covered positions,

resulting in a regulatory backtest multiplier of 3 (the minimum backtest multiplier).

For further information on market risk governance, see “Risk Management” in our 2025 Annual Report.

Interest Rate Risk for Non-trading Activities

BNY is committed to implementing and maintaining sound practices for managing IRR. Our IRR management structure ensures that we meet and maintain this objective.

IRR is inherent in the business of banking. BNY’s policy is to manage IRR exposures using processes and systems commensurate with our earnings and capital levels, complexity, business model, risk profile and scope of operations.

The Board of Directors and its designees oversee risk management processes, including policy oversight and annual approval. The Board of Directors also sets the overall tolerance for IRR, and delegates to the ALCO a mandate to oversee the management of these risks. It also delegates to the ALCO responsibility for devising and executing IRR strategies and policies consistent with BNY’s defined risk appetite. The Corporate Treasurer is required to report to the Board of Directors or its delegated committee at least quarterly regarding BNY’s IRR exposure, along with a review of any significant strategies undertaken to monitor and control such risks.

For additional information on IRR and the estimated changes in net interest revenue, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Asset/liability management” in our 2025 Annual Report and Form 10-Q.

Supplementary Leverage Ratio

Advanced Approaches banking organizations are required to disclose their SLR. BNY is required to comply with a minimum SLR requirement plus enhanced SLR buffer.

The following table presents BNY’s summary comparison of accounting assets and total leverage exposure at June 30, 2026. Several qualified custody banks including BNY are permitted to exclude certain central bank placements from the total leverage exposure used in the SLR calculation.

Part 1: Summary comparison of accounting assets and total leverage exposure	
<i>(dollars in millions)</i>	June 30, 2026
Total consolidated assets as reported in published financial statements	\$ 525,019
Adjustment for derivative transactions	32,995
Adjustment for repo-style transactions	6,907
Adjustment for off-balance sheet exposures <i>(a)</i>	19,192
Adjustment for deductions from Tier 1 capital	(18,372)
Adjustment for deductions of qualifying central bank deposits for custodial banking organizations	(89,930)
Adjustment for frequency of calculations	(59,038)
Total leverage exposure	\$ 416,773

(a) Conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures.

The following table presents the details of BNY's SLR using Basel III capital components at June 30, 2026.

Part 2: Supplementary Leverage Ratio <i>(dollars in millions)</i>	June 30, 2026
On-balance sheet exposure:	
On-balance sheet assets (a)	\$ 417,173
Amounts deducted from Tier 1 capital	(18,372)
Deductions of qualifying central bank deposits for custodial banking organizations	(89,930)
Total on-balance sheet exposures (a)	308,871
Derivative exposures:	
Replacement cost for derivative exposures	21,391
Add-on amounts for PFE for derivative exposures	15,769
Gross-up for collateral posted in derivative transactions if collateral is deducted from the on-balance sheet assets	1,619
Less: Deductions of receivable assets for qualifying cash variation margin posted in derivatives transactions	(2,032)
Total derivative exposures	36,747
Repo-style transactions:	
On-balance sheet assets for repo-style transactions (b)	278,642
Reduction of the gross value of receivables in reverse repurchase transactions	(233,586)
Counterparty credit risk for all repo-style transactions	6,887
Exposure for repo-style transactions where a banking organization acts as an agent	20
Total exposures for repo-style transactions	51,963
Other off-balance sheet exposures:	
Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amounts	50,960
Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts	(31,768)
Total off-balance sheet exposures	19,192
Capital and total leverage exposure:	
Tier 1 capital	\$ 26,311
Total leverage exposure	\$ 416,773
Supplementary Leverage Ratio	6.3%

(a) Excluding on-balance sheet assets for repo-style transactions and derivative exposures, but including cash collateral received.

(b) Including the gross value of receivables for reverse repurchase transactions and the value of securities that qualified for sales treatment that must be reversed, but excluding the value of securities received in a security-for-security repo-style transaction where the securities lender has not sold or re-hypothecated the securities received.

Forward-looking Statements

Additional information related to the Company is contained in the Company's reports filed with the SEC, including the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2025 (the "2025 Annual Report"), Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K (each, a "'34 Act Report"). These periodic '34 Act Reports can be viewed, as they become available, on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and at www.bny.com.

In this Disclosure, and other public disclosures of BNY, words such as "estimate," "forecast," "project," "anticipate," "likely," "target," "expect," "intend," "continue," "seek," "believe," "plan," "goal," "could," "should," "would," "may," "might," "will," "strategy," "synergies," "opportunities," "trends," "momentum," "ambition," "aspiration," "objective," "aim," "future," "potentially," "outlook," and words of similar meaning, may signify forward-looking statements.

Some statements in this Disclosure and the '34 Act Reports may constitute "forward-looking statements". These statements, which may be expressed in a variety of ways, including the use of future or present tense language, relate to, among other things: statements about the future results of BNY's capital plans including dividends and repurchases, risk and capital management and processes, estimates (including those regarding expenses, interest rate and net interest income sensitivities, losses inherent in our credit portfolios and capital ratios), intentions (including those regarding our capital returns and expenses), outlook (including those regarding our performance results, fee revenue, net interest income, expenses, impacts of currency fluctuations, capital ratios and effective tax rate) and expectations (including those regarding products, nonperforming assets, legal proceedings and other contingencies, impacts of trends on our businesses, regulatory, technology, market, economic or accounting developments and the impacts of such developments on our businesses).

These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future results or occurrences, are inherently uncertain and are based upon current beliefs and expectations of future events, many of which are, by their nature, difficult to predict, outside of our control and subject to change.

Actual results may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results expressed or implied in these forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including those factors discussed in the 2025 Annual Report under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Risk Factors," such as:

- errors or delays in our operational and transaction processing, or those of third parties, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and reputation;
- a communications or technology disruption or failure within our infrastructure or the infrastructure of third parties that results in a loss of information, delays our ability to access information or impacts our ability to provide services to our clients may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations;
- a cybersecurity incident directed at us or a third party could result in the theft, loss, disclosure, use or alteration of information, unauthorized or loss of access to information, or system or network failures. The increasing sophistication of cyber threats, including those enhanced by artificial intelligence and emerging technologies, including quantum computing, could challenge the effectiveness of our cybersecurity measures, governance and controls, remediation efforts and resiliency. For example, recent advancements in artificial intelligence have enhanced the capability of threat actors to identify and potentially exploit previously unidentified cybersecurity vulnerabilities, while developments in new computing technologies, such as quantum computing, that vastly increase the speed and computing power available may introduce cryptography risks, including vulnerabilities in encryption and other protective measures, both of which could increase the likelihood or severity of cybersecurity incidents. Any such incident could adversely impact our ability to conduct our businesses, damage our reputation and cause losses;
- the development, deployment and use of artificial intelligence present risks and challenges that may materially adversely impact our business and threaten the viability of our company. A failure to swiftly, strategically and pervasively adopt appropriate artificial intelligence solutions, including agents and digital employees, develop the relevant skillsets and capabilities throughout our platforms or realize the expected benefits

from such technologies could result in significant competitive disadvantages, including reduced growth opportunities and adverse impacts on our long-term business performance;

- our risk management framework, policies and processes may not be effective in identifying or mitigating risk and reducing the potential for losses and any inadequacy or lapse in our risk management framework, policies and processes could expose us to unexpected losses that could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition;
- limitations of the models we use to measure, monitor and manage risk could lead to unexpected losses and adverse business impacts;
- we are subject to extensive government rulemaking, policies, regulation and supervision that impact our operations. Changes to and introduction of new rules and regulations have compelled, and in the future may compel, us to change how we manage our businesses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations;
- regulatory or enforcement actions or litigation, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations or harm our businesses or reputation;
- our business may be adversely affected if we are unable to attract, retain, develop and motivate employees;
- a failure or circumvention of our controls, policies and procedures, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and reputation;
- weakness and volatility in financial markets and the economy generally may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations;
- we are dependent on fee-based business for a substantial majority of our revenue and our fee-based revenues could be adversely affected by slowing market activity, weak financial markets, underperformance and/or negative trends in savings rates or in investment preferences;
- levels of and changes in interest rates, which have impacted, and will in the future continue to impact our profitability and capital levels, at times adversely;
- we have experienced, and may continue to experience, unrealized or realized losses on securities related to volatile and illiquid market conditions, reducing our capital levels and/or

earnings;

- the failure or perceived weakness of any of our significant clients or counterparties, many of whom are major financial institutions or sovereign entities, and our assumption of credit, counterparty and concentration risk, which could expose us to client losses and adversely affect our business;
- we could incur losses if our allowance for credit losses, including loan and lending-related commitment reserves, is inadequate or if our expectations of future economic conditions deteriorate;
- our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected if we do not effectively manage our liquidity;
- failure to satisfy regulatory standards, including “well capitalized” and “well managed” status or capital adequacy and liquidity rules more generally, which could result in limitations on our activities, or adversely affect our business and financial condition;
- the Parent is a non-operating holding company, which is dependent on dividends from its subsidiaries and extensions of credit from the IHC to meet its obligations, including with respect to its securities, and to provide funds for share repurchases, payment of income taxes and payment of dividends to its stockholders;
- our ability to return capital to shareholders, which is subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and may be limited by U.S. banking laws and regulations, including those governing capital and capital planning, applicable provisions of Delaware law and our failure to pay full and timely dividends on our preferred stock;
- any material reduction in our credit ratings or the credit ratings of our principal bank subsidiaries, The Bank of New York Mellon, BNY Mellon, N.A. or The Bank of New York Mellon SA/NV, which could increase the cost of funding and borrowing to us and our rated subsidiaries and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and on the value of the securities we issue;
- the application of our Title I preferred resolution strategy or resolution under the Title II orderly liquidation authority, which could adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and security holders;
- new lines of business, new products and services or transformational or strategic project initiatives subject us to new or additional risks, and the

failure to implement these initiatives could affect our results of operations;

- our strategic transactions present risks and uncertainties and could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations;
- we may not realize some or all of the expected benefits of our transition to a platforms operating model;
- we are subject to competition in all aspects of our business, which could negatively affect our ability to maintain or increase our profitability;
- our businesses may be negatively affected by adverse events, publicity, government scrutiny or other reputational harm;
- impacts from geopolitical events, acts of terrorism, war, extreme weather and other natural disasters, pandemics and other similar events may have a negative impact on our business and operations;
- differing expectations for sustainability-related initiatives across client segments and local markets could adversely affect our business, affect client activity levels, subject us to additional regulatory requirements and damage our reputation;
- tax law changes or challenges to our tax positions with respect to historical transactions may adversely affect our net income, effective tax rate and our overall results of operations and financial condition; and
- changes in accounting standards governing the preparation of our financial statements and future events, which could have a material impact on our reported financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and other financial data.

In addition, recent announcements regarding changes in trade policies and practices, including the implementation of tariffs, proposed further tariffs, and responses globally, have significantly affected financial markets and economic conditions. Continued uncertainty, including in trade policies and practices, elevated tariffs, operational and policy changes in the governments of the U.S. and other countries, and an extended U.S. government shutdown could contribute to further market volatility and deteriorating or prolonged weakened economic conditions, which could cause our financial results to differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results expressed or implied in forward-looking statements contained in this Disclosure and other public disclosures of BNY.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made, and BNY undertakes no obligation to update any statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such forward-looking statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. The contents of BNY's website or any other website referenced herein are not part of this Disclosure.

Acronyms

AA	Advanced Approaches	IRR	Interest rate risk
ABS	Asset-backed security	ISDA	International Swaps and Derivatives Association
ALCO	Asset liability committee	L/C	Letters of credit
AMA	Advanced measurement approach	LDA	Loss distribution approach
APAC	Asia-Pacific region	LGD	Loss given default
BHC	Bank holding company	LTD	Long-term debt
CCAR	Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review	MBS	Mortgage-backed security
CCF	Credit conversion factor	MRMG	Model Risk Management Group
CCO	Chief Credit Officer	OCC	Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
CCP	Central counterparty	OCM	Operational Credit Manager
CDS	Credit default swaps	OTC	Over-the-counter
CDX	Credit default swap index	PD	Probability of default
CEM	Current Exposure Method	PFE	Potential Future Exposure
CEIO	Credit-enhancing interest-only strip	RCSA	Risk and Control Self Assessment
CET1	Common Equity Tier 1 capital	RMBS	Residential mortgage-backed security
CFO	Chief Financial Officer	RW	Risk weight
CIBC	Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce	RWA	Risk-weighted assets
CLO	Collateralized loan obligation	S&P	Standard & Poor's
CMBS	Commercial mortgage-backed security	SA	Standardized Approach
CPFE	Counterparty Potential Future Exposure	SA-CCR	Standardized Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk
CPM	Credit portfolio manager	SCB	Stress Capital Buffer
CPPR	Cross-Product Potential Risk	SD	Subordinated debt
CRM	Comprehensive risk measure	SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
CRO	Chief Risk Officer	SFA	Supervisory Formula Approach
CSA	Credit Support Annex	SFT	Securities Financing Transactions
CVA	Credit valuation adjustment	SLR	Supplementary Leverage Ratio
DFAST	Dodd-Frank Act Stress Test	SPV	Special Purpose Vehicle
DVA	Debit valuation adjustment	SMLT	Simple Modified Look-Through Approach
EAD	Exposure at Default	ERC	Enterprise Risk Committee
EL	Expected loss	SRWA	Simple Risk-Weight Approach
EMEA	Europe, the Middle East and Africa	SSFA	Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach
EVE	Economic value of equity	STOG	Stress Testing Oversight Group
FDIC	Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation	TLAC	Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity
FLTA	Full Look-Through Approach	UGD	Usage given default
FX	Foreign exchange	UL	Unexpected loss
GAAP	Generally accepted accounting principles	VaR	Value-at-risk
G-SIB	Global systemically important bank	VIE	Variable interest entity
HVCRE	High-volatility commercial real estate	1LOD	First line of defense
ICAAP	Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process	2LOD	Second line of defense
IHC	Intermediate holding company		
IMM	Internal Models Method		
IPRE	Income producing real estate		
IRB	Internal ratings-based		
IRC	Incremental risk capital		

Glossary

Advanced Approaches (“AA”)—The U.S. federal banking agencies’ Advanced Approaches risk-based capital rules, which are a subset of the U.S. capital rules, are generally applicable to banking organizations having \$250 billion or more in total consolidated assets or \$10 billion or more in on-balance sheet foreign exposures and based upon an advanced internal ratings-based approach for credit risk and an advanced measurement approach for operational risk.

Americas—Includes locations in North and South America.

Asset-backed security (“ABS”)—A financial security backed by a loan, lease or receivables against assets other than real estate and MBS.

Asset liability committee (“ALCO”)—A risk management committee in a bank or other lending institution that generally comprises the senior-management levels of the institution. The ALCO’s primary goal is to evaluate, monitor and approve practices relating to risk due to imbalances in the capital structure.

Bank exposure—An exposure to the following entities: (1) “Banks, U.S. and Foreign” and “Depository Institutions in the U.S.” as those terms are defined in the Glossary of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council’s Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income; (2) securities firms; and (3) multi-lateral development banks that do not have full faith and credit backing of sovereign entities.

Central counterparty (“CCP”)—A counterparty (for example, a clearing house) that facilitates trades between counterparties in one or more financial markets by either guaranteeing trades or novating contracts.

Cleared transaction—An exposure associated with an outstanding derivative contract or repo-style transaction that a banking organization or clearing member has entered into with a central counterparty (that is, a transaction that a central counterparty has accepted).

Collateral haircut approach—An approach used to recognize the credit risk mitigation benefits of

financial collateral that secures an eligible margin loan, repo-style transaction, collateralized derivative contract or single-product netting of such transactions. Generally a banking organization determines the exposure amount by applying standard supervisory haircuts or, with regulatory approval, its own estimates of haircuts, and multiplies the exposure amount by the risk weight associated with the counterparty or guarantor.

Collateralized loan obligation (“CLO”)—A debt security backed by a pool of commercial loans.

Collins Amendment of the Dodd-Frank Act—A U.S. depository institution or bank holding company (each, a “banking organization”) operating under the U.S. capital rules Advanced Approaches must calculate its risk-based capital ratios under both the Standardized Approach and the Advanced Approaches. The banking organization must then use the lower of the two Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios and the lower of the two Total risk-based capital ratios to determine whether it meets its minimum risk-based capital requirements. The lower of each ratio is considered the banking organization’s binding capital constraint.

Commercial mortgage-backed security (“CMBS”)—An asset-backed security whose cash flows are backed by principal and interest payments of a set of commercial mortgage loans.

Common Equity Tier 1 capital (“CET1”)—The sum of surplus (net of treasury stock), retained earnings, accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and CET1 minority interest subject to certain limitations, minus certain regulatory adjustments and deductions.

Copula—A probability and statistical technique used to model dependence between random variables. It is a common technique used in operational risk modeling to model the dependence of operational losses in different units of measure (i.e., loss event categories) to derive a diversification benefit.

Corporate exposure—An exposure to a company that is not: (1) an exposure to a sovereign, the Bank for International Settlements, the European Central Bank, the European Commission, the International Monetary Fund, a multi-lateral development bank, a depository institution, a foreign bank, a credit union or a public sector entity; (2) an exposure to a

government sponsored entity; (3) a residential mortgage exposure; (4) a pre-sold construction loan; (5) a statutory multifamily mortgage; (6) a high-volatility commercial real estate exposure; (7) a cleared transaction; (8) a default fund contribution; (9) a securitization exposure; (10) an equity exposure; or (11) an unsettled transaction.

Counterparty risk (default risk)—The risk that a counterparty will not pay as obligated on a contract, trade or transaction.

Covered funds—Generally, any issuer that would be, among other entities, an investment company as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940 but for section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7) of that Act with a number of express exclusions and additions as determined by the agencies.

Credit conversion factor (“CCF”)—Converts the amount of a free credit line and other off-balance sheet transactions (with the exception of derivatives) to an EAD amount.

Credit default swaps (“CDS”)—A financial contract executed under standard industry documentation that allows one party (the protection purchaser) to transfer the credit risk of one or more exposures (reference exposure(s)) to another party (the protection provider) for a certain period of time.

Credit derivatives—Contractual agreements that provide insurance against a credit event of one or more referenced credits. Such events include bankruptcy, insolvency and failure to meet payment obligations when due.

Credit-enhancing interest-only strip (“CEIO”)—An on-balance sheet asset that, in form or substance, (i) represents the contractual right to receive some or all of the interest and no more than a minimal amount of the principal due on the underlying exposure; and (ii) exposes the banking organization to credit risk directly or indirectly associated with the underlying exposures that exceeds the pro rata share of its claim on the underlying assets whether through subordination provisions or other credit enhancing techniques.

Credit exposure—The total amount of credit extended to a borrower by a lender. The magnitude of credit exposure indicates the extent to which the

lender is exposed to the risk of loss in the event of the borrower’s default.

Credit risk—The risk of loss due to borrower or counterparty default.

Credit risk mitigation—A technique to reduce the credit risk associated with an exposure by application of credit risk mitigants such as collateral, guarantees and credit protection.

Credit Support Annex (“CSA”)—A legal document which regulates credit support (collateral) for derivative transactions. The trade is documented under a standard contract called a master agreement, developed by the ISDA. The two parties must sign the ISDA master agreement and execute a CSA before they trade derivatives with each other.

Credit valuation adjustment (“CVA”)—The market value of counterparty credit risk on OTC derivative transactions.

Current exposure method (“CEM”)—A system used by financial institutions to measure the credit risk of losing anticipated cash flows from forwards, swaps, options and other derivatives contracts they are party to, in the event the counterparty to the contract should default. An investor’s total exposure, under the CEM, is equal to the replacement cost of all marked-to-market contracts currently in the money, plus the credit exposure risk of potential changes in future prices or volatility of the underlying asset.

Debit valuation adjustment (“DVA”)—The market value of our credit risk on OTC derivative transactions.

Derivative—A contract or agreement whose value is derived from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, prices of securities or commodities, credit worthiness for CDS or financial or commodity indices.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”)—Regulatory reform legislation signed into law on July 21, 2010. This law broadly affects the financial services industry and contains numerous provisions aimed at strengthening the sound operation of financial services sector.

Economic capital—The amount of capital required to absorb potential losses and reflects the probability of remaining solvent over a one-year time horizon.

Eligible margin loans—An extension of credit that is collateralized exclusively by liquid and readily marketable debt or equity securities, or conforming residential mortgages. The collateral is marked to fair value daily, and the transaction is subject to daily margin maintenance requirements.

Expected loss (“EL”)—A regulatory calculation of the amount expected to be lost on an exposure using a 12-month time horizon and downturn loss estimates. EL is calculated by multiplying the PD (a percentage) by the EAD (an amount) and LGD (a percentage).

Exposure—A claim, contingent claim or position which carries a risk of financial loss.

Exposure at default (“EAD”)—The amount expected to be outstanding after any credit risk mitigation, if and when a counterparty defaults. EAD reflects drawn balances as well as the expected future draws on undrawn amounts of commitments and contingent exposures over a one-year horizon.

First Line of Defense (“1LOD”)—First line of defense includes senior management and business and corporate staff, excluding management and employees in Risk Management, Compliance and Internal Audit.

Foreign currency options—Similar to interest rate options except they are based on foreign exchange rates. Also, see interest rate options in this Glossary.

Foreign exchange contracts—Contracts that provide for the future receipt or delivery of foreign currency at previously agreed-upon terms.

Generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”)—Accounting rules and conventions defining acceptable practices in preparing financial statements in the U.S. The Financial Accounting Standards Board is the primary source of accounting rules.

High-volatility commercial real estate (“HVCRE”)—A credit facility secured by land or improved real property that, with certain exclusions, (i) primarily finances, has financed, or refinances the acquisition, development or construction of real

property; (ii) has the purpose of providing financing to acquire, develop, or improve such real property into income-producing real property; and (iii) is dependent upon future income or sales proceeds from, or refinancing of, such real property for the repayment of such credit facility.

Impairment—When an asset’s market value is less than its carrying value.

Income producing real estate (“IPRE”)—A method of providing funding to real estate (such as, office buildings to let, retail space, multifamily residential buildings, industrial or warehouse space, and hotels) where the prospects for repayment and recovery on the exposure depend primarily on the cash flows generated by the asset.

Interest rate options, including caps and floors—Contracts to modify IRR in exchange for the payment of a premium when the contract is initiated. As a writer of interest rate options, we receive a premium in exchange for bearing the risk of unfavorable changes in interest rates. Conversely, as a purchaser of an option, we pay a premium for the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a financial instrument or currency at predetermined terms in the future.

Interest rate risk (“IRR”)—Exposure of a bank’s financial condition to adverse movements in interest rates.

Interest rate sensitivity—The exposure of net interest income to interest rate movements.

Interest rate swaps—Contracts in which a series of interest rate flows in a single currency are exchanged over a prescribed period. Interest rate swaps are the most common type of derivative contract that we use in our asset/liability management activities.

Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (“ICAAP”)—The Company’s own assessment of the levels of capital that it needs to hold through an examination of its risk profile from regulatory and economic capital viewpoints and related processes.

Internal Models Method (“IMM”)—One of three approaches defined by Basel III to determine exposure values for counterparty credit risk.

Internal ratings-based (“IRB”) advanced approach—A method of calculating credit risk

capital requirements using internal PD, LGD and EAD models.

ISDA master agreement—A standard agreement used in OTC derivatives transactions. The ISDA master agreement is a document that outlines the terms applied to a derivatives transaction between two parties. Once the two parties agree to the standard terms, they do not have to renegotiate each time a new transaction is entered into.

Joint venture—A company or entity owned and operated by a group of companies for a specific business purpose, no one of which has a majority interest.

Leverage ratio—Tier 1 capital divided by quarterly average total assets, as defined by the regulators.

Loss given default (“LGD”)—The estimated percentage of the loss on an exposure to the amount outstanding at default (i.e., EAD) upon default of a counterparty.

Master netting agreement—An agreement between two counterparties that have multiple contracts with each other that provides for the net settlement of all contracts through a single payment in the event of default or termination of any one contract.

Monte Carlo simulation—A problem solving technique used to approximate the probability of certain outcomes by running multiple trial runs, called simulations, using random variables.

Mortgage-backed security (“MBS”)—An asset-backed security whose cash flows are backed by the principal and interest payments of a set of mortgage loans.

Netting—The ability of a bank to reduce its credit risk exposures, by offsetting the value of any company exposure to counterparty exposure to the same counterparty, or under ISDA master netting agreement for derivative contracts.

Over-the-counter (“OTC”) derivative—A derivative contract that is not a cleared transaction. An OTC derivative includes a transaction: (1) between a bank that is a clearing member and a counterparty where the bank is acting as a financial intermediary and enters into a cleared transaction with a central counterparty (“CCP”) that offsets the

transaction with the counterparty; or (2) in which a bank that is a clearing member provides a CCP a guarantee on the performance of the counterparty to the transaction.

Potential future exposure (“PFE”)—An estimate of the bank’s maximum expected credit exposure over a fixed time horizon with a high level of confidence.

Probability of default (“PD”)—The probability that an obligor will default within a one-year time horizon.

Qualifying revolving exposure—An exposure (other than a securitization exposure or equity exposure) to an individual that is managed as part of a segment of exposures with homogeneous risk characteristics, not on an individual-exposure basis, and is (1) revolving, (2) unsecured and unconditionally cancelable by the bank to the fullest extent permitted by Federal law and (3) has a maximum exposure amount (drawn plus undrawn) of up to \$100,000.

Ratings-Based Approach—One of three calculation methods defined under the IRB approach to securitizations. The approach uses risk weightings based on external credit assessment institution’s ratings, the granularity of the underlying pool and the seniority of the position.

Regulatory capital—The minimum capital that a financial institution is expected to hold against the risk it faces. This minimum is determined by the financial institution’s calculations for credit, market and operational risk, plus any additional capital deemed appropriate under applicable regulatory capital rules.

Repo-style transactions—includes securities lending, securities borrowing, repurchase or reverse-repurchase transactions that are based solely on liquid securities and are marked-to-market daily.

Repurchase agreement (“Repo”)—An instrument used to raise short term funds whereby securities are sold with an agreement for the seller to buy back the securities at a later date.

Resecuritization—A securitization that has more than one underlying exposure and in which one or more of the underlying exposures is a securitization exposure.

Residential mortgage-backed security (“RMBS”)—An asset-backed security whose cash flows are backed by principal and interest payments of a set of residential mortgage loans.

Reverse repurchase agreement (“Reverse repo”)—A purchase of securities with an agreement to resell them at a higher price at a specific future date. This is essentially a loan of the security at a specific rate.

Risk-weighted assets (“RWA”)—Calculated by assigning a degree of risk expressed as a percentage (risk weight) to an exposure in accordance with the applicable Basel III Standardized Approach or Advanced Approaches capital rules.

Second Line of Defense (“2LOD”)—Second line of defense includes management and employees in Risk Management and Compliance.

Securities lending transaction—A fully collateralized transaction in which the owner of a security agrees to lend the security through an agent (such as The Bank of New York Mellon) to a borrower, usually a broker/dealer or bank, on an open, overnight or term basis, under the terms of a prearranged contract, which generally matures in less than 90 days.

Securitization—Includes transactions whereby the credit risk associated with an exposure, or pool of exposures, is tranching and where payments to investors in the transaction are dependent upon the performance of the underlying exposures. A traditional securitization involves the transfer of the exposures being securitized to a special purpose entity which issues securities. In a synthetic securitization, the tranching is achieved by the use of credit derivatives and the exposures are not removed from the balance sheet of the originator.

Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach (“SSFA”)—A formula that starts with a baseline derived from the capital requirements that apply to all exposures underlying a securitization and then assigns risk weights based on the subordination level of an exposure. SSFA was designed to apply relatively higher capital requirements to the more risky junior tranches of a securitization that are the first to absorb losses, and relatively lower requirements to the most senior exposures.

Sovereign—A central government (including the U.S. government) or an agency, department, ministry, or central bank of a central government. A sovereign exposure is a direct exposure to a sovereign; or an exposure directly and unconditionally backed by the full faith and credit of a sovereign.

Special purpose vehicle (“SPV”)—A corporation, trust or other non-bank entity, established for a narrowly defined purpose, including for carrying on securitization activities. The structure of the entity and activities are intended to isolate the obligations of the SPV from those of the originator and the holders of the beneficial interests in the securitization.

Standardized Approach (“SA”)—In relation to credit risk, a method for calculating credit risk capital requirements using supervisory risk weights.

Subordinated debt (“SD”)—Debt which, in the event of insolvency or liquidation of the issuer, is subordinated to the claims of depositors and other creditors of the issuer.

Supervisory formula approach (“SFA”)—SFA is one of several approaches available to a banking organization when calculating RWA for securitization exposures. To implement the SFA for a given securitization exposure, a banking organization must calculate several input parameters: the exposure’s credit enhancement level and thickness; the exposure-weighted average LGD for the underlying exposures to the securitization transaction; and the effective number of underlying exposures.

Supplementary Leverage Ratio (“SLR”)—An Advanced Approaches banking organization’s Basel III SLR is the simple arithmetic mean of the ratio of its Tier 1 capital to total leverage exposure (which is broadly defined to capture both on- and off-balance sheet exposures).

Total return swaps—A swap agreement in which one party makes payments based on a set rate, either fixed or variable, while the other party makes payments based on the return of the underlying asset, which includes both the income it generates and any capital gains. In total return swaps, the underlying asset, also referred to as the reference asset, is usually an equity index, loans or bonds. This is owned by the party receiving the set rate payment.

Usage given default (“UGD”)—The expected percent of the commitment that is likely to be drawn in the event of default.

Value-at-Risk (“VaR”)—A measure of the dollar amount of potential loss at a specified confidence level from adverse market movements in an ordinary market environment.

Variable funding notes (“VFN”)—An arrangement that allows for drawings on a revolving basis and have been issued pursuant to the Variable Funding Note Purchase Agreement.

Variable interest entity (“VIE”)—An entity that: (1) lacks enough equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional financial support from other parties; (2) has equity owners that lack the right to make significant decisions affecting the entity’s operations; and/or (3) has equity owners that do not have an obligation to absorb or the right to receive the entity’s losses or return.

Wrong-way risk—The risk that arises when an exposure to a particular counterparty is positively correlated with the probability of default of such counterparty itself.



The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation
240 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10286
United States
+1 212 495 1784

[bny.com](https://www.bny.com)